

### FLOOD CONTROL ECONOMIC JUSTIFICATION STUDY SKAGIT RIVER July 1950

### INDEX TO VOLUMES

### APPRAISAL OF DAMAGES, 1921 H.W. & 1815 H.W.

Bindin	137				-		
V3		Vol.	1	1940	Appraisa	1.	General Data & Maps, Recap. of Damages, Appraisal of Miscl. Items, Appraisal Factors, Indices of Mane & Aerial Photos
5		u	2	•	a		Township Notes T33N-R2E T33N-R3E T33N-R4E (includes Conway) T36N-R3E (
5		•	3		n		Township Notes T34N-R2E ( " La Conner) T34N-R3E ( " Avon) T34N-R4E ( " Mt. Vernon & Clear Lake) T34N-R5E
5	i.		4	n			Township Notes T35N-R2E T35N-R3E T35N-R4E ( " Burlington & Sedro Woolley) T35N-R5E ( " Sedro Woolley)
		A	PPRA	ISAL	OF DAMAGE	S;	112,000 cfs., 210,000 cfs., 300,000 cfs. & 400,000
5		Vol.	5	1950	Appraise	<b>1</b> .	Indices, Map Reference, Summaries & Curves, General Data, Land Use, Grop Damages,
5		Π	6	•	n		Roads & Highways, Railroads, Bridges, Highway & Railroad Traffic Interruptions, Navigation & Specific
E		n	7	٦			Miscellaneous Items, Livestock, Farm Machinery, Weeds, Clean-up Costs, Salt Water Damage, Loss of Milk Production, Assessed Valuation by School
£			8	п	π	1/	Areas (Left Bank)
E		۳	9			IJ	Areas (Right Bank)
-			10			1/	In, IN, IL, IE, IN (includes burlington)
E E			10	n	•	1/	Areas 2 (includes Blanchard & Edison), 3 (includes Clear Lake), & 4 Channel Areas
							Avon By-Pass to mouth of Skagit River
5			12	1949	-1950		Sedro Woolley to Rockport
							RIGHT OF WAY COSTS
ر		H	13	1950	Appraisa	<b>u</b> .	Avon By-Pass R/W Costs; 900', 1300', 1700', 1800',
		1/ Ir	Ma Ma on	des Bu chiner remai	uildings y, Weeds ning ite	& Co , C] ms s	entents and Miscellaneous Items such as Livestock, Sean-up Costs, and Loss of Milk Production. For Damages see Vol. 5 & 6.

### SKAGIT HIVER

٠

# MAP REFERENCE

File No.	lind	Description	Scale	Sheets
E-6-1-21	₩P	Kroll Township Plats T36N - E3E T35N - E3E & ELE T3LN - E2E & ELE T33N - E3E & ELE	2" = 1 mi.	7
E-6-4-29	OP	1935 F.W. Marks and Dench Marks Conway to Concrete (C. B Corey 1935)		2
E-6-4-31	T	Fileage & Local Names, Sedro Woolley to mouth, Snows levees & soundings 1932 scale	1" = 100'	5
E-6-6-1	T.P	Topography, Skagit Flats. by Hilleboe (1940)	1" = 1000'	lı
E-6-6-1.1	WP	Reference Elevations for Flood Damage Appraisal, Samish Area (1950) Based on E-6-6-1 (Hilleboe Survey)	1" = 1000'	5
E-6-6-2		Avon By-Pass (1942)	2" = 1 mi.	2
E-6-6-4	OP	1940 Flood Damage Appraisel, Topog. Skagit Flats (1940) hadison	1" = 1000' 1" = 1 mi.	ô 2
3-6-6-56	IS Film	<pre>kosaic of Aerial Photos, Used as Index to 1947 &amp; 1948 air Photos (Used in 1950 Appraisal)</pre>	1" = 1 mi.	Ц
E-6-6-61	OP	Backwater Sections by Festby (1969) from File K-2-106, Plate No. 2	2" = 1 mi.	1
E-6-6-63	Aerial Thotos	Land Use Classification, U.S. Soil Conserva- tion Service, Skagit River - Accept to mouth 1941-1943, 1950 Ap. reisal Data	L" = 1 mi.	64
E-664		1947 Aerial Photos enlarged to scale 1" = 300'. Used in Flood Damage Appraisal, shows shot nos. for levels. Sheet 1 Sedro Foolley " 2 Glear Lake " 3 Burlington " 4 Blanchard " 5 Edison " 6 Avon " 7 Area So. of Avon & V. of Mt. V " 8 Area North & West of Lt. Vernon " 9 Area So. of Lt. Vernon	iernon m	

Skagit Eiver Kap Teference (Continued)

File No.	Kind	Description	icale	Sheets
E-6-6-70		Film negatives for enlargements of the Aerial Photos of preceding towns, file E-6-6-64		•
	ot & TP	1950 Leves Survey. Lines T, S, S, sublines A & B, based on file E-6-4-31 (1932) & G-2-3 (1930)	1" = 100"	6
1-6-6-79	OP	Nov. 1919 H.F. Larks, Sauk Fiver to mouth of Skagit Fiver	2" == 1 mi.	2
F-6-6-80	Film Neg.	Vicinity I ap - 1950 Flood Damage Anpraisal, July 1950. Skagit River Valley showing Areas Appraised	1" = 1 zi.	1
E-6-4-90	L	Area Inundated 1949 H.T., marked copy of Ft. Vernon Quad. 161A (1950 Appraisal)	1" = 1 mi.	1
п.	L	Area Inundated 1949 H.T., marked copy of Clear Lake Quad, 173 (1950 Appraisal)	1" = 1 mi.	1
	L	Area Inundated 1949 H.W., marked copy of Fickersham (uad. 48 (1950 Appraisal)	1" = 1 mi.	1
9	7.P	Area Inundated 1949 H.W., marked copy of kt. Vernon Quad. 161C. Shows Location of Roads, & Tire Lines. Also shows Appraisal limits of 1921 H.W. & 1949 H.W. and Index to Level Shot Nos. used	2 # = 1.0001	•
		in 1950 Ficos Damage Appraisat	1 1000.	1
E-6-6-91	řS	<pre>Skagit Eiver, Avon By-Pass Layouts, Flowage Costs &amp; Flood Damage Study (1950). Shows limits of alternate 1800', 2500' &amp; 2900' R/E widths (also shows building locations for Flood Appraisal Subareas 18, 11, &amp; 18)</pre>	1'' = 400'	13
E-6-6-92	я <b>р</b>	<pre>Map shows appraisal limits of 210,000, 300,000, &amp; h00,000 cfs. Discharges &amp; Limits of Saltwater Damages (1950) Area covered from Samish Valley to south of Burlington. This is a marked copy of file E-6-6-1.1</pre>	1" = 1000'	ц
E-6-6-93	OP	Location of Parcel Numbers used in Flood Damage Appraisal, Areas 13, 14, & Areas 18 to 1J (Burlington south to Snohomish County Line) (1950)	2" = 1 mi.	Ŀ
E-6-6-94	OP	Sap showing Areas inundated by Samish Siver Flood of Dec. 1949 (Eice). Also shows Backwater sections (1950)	2" = 1 mi.	

File No.	Kind	Description	Scale	Sheets
E-6-6-94	OP	<pre>kap showing outline of Appraisal Area boundaries for 1950 Appraisal. This is a marked copy of K-2-107, plate 3</pre>	1" = 1 mi.	1
I-6-6-95	TP	Skagit River, avon By-Pass Layouts for alternate 1800', 2500', & 2900' R/W widths (1950)	1" = 20001	1
	OP	Skagit Jounty Load 1ap marked in red pencil showing boundary limits of areas covered by Aerial Photos. Lap used as Index to Photos (1950)	1" = 2 mi.	1
E-6-6-96	ΥР	Skagit River, Avon By-Pass Layouts for alternate channel R/% widths of 1800', 2500', & 2900'. Layouts shown in red pencil on Metsker map sheets for T3LK, B3E, LE (1950)	2" = 1 mi.	2
E-6-6-97	OP	Flood Damage Appraisal, Flat of town of Burlington, marked copy shows building locations & Flood Damage Appraisal Data (1950) Rice. Shot Mos. for levels, see Field Books L-143	1" = 200'	1
•	OP	Flat of town of Sedro Toolley, marked copy showing building locations & Flood Damage Appraisal Data (1950) Rice	1" = 2001	1
	OP	Plat of town of it. Vernon, marked copy showing building locations & Appraisal Data (1950) Fice	1" = 200"	1
	¥.P	Plat of town of La Conner, marked copy showing locations of building parcels (1950 Appraisal) Rice	1" = 200"	1
E-6-6-98	OP	Marked Print of K-2-63 shows References to level Books & page numbers, and Level Botes of the 1930 Survey (1950)	2" = 1 mi.	1
•	OP	Map marked for Observation Points to be used in taking corresponding readings of High & Low Tides, Skagit River (1950 Appraisal). Marked copy of E-6-6-61		1
E-40-1-3	OP	Area Inundated Dec. 1949 H.T. Samish Hiver by Rice 1950		
D-12-29.8	V.P	Sanborn Saps, town of Burlington, shows location of Building Farcels, 1940 & 1950 Appraisals	1" = 50'	Ŀ

Skagit River Wan Weference (continued)

ĸ

÷.,

	File No.	Kird	<u>Mescription</u>	Scole	Steets
	D-12-29.9	F.P	Senborn Caps, town of La Conner, shows location of Building Carcels, 1940 & 1950 Appraisals	1" = 50'	3
	1-12-29.10	¥.P	Sanborn Laps, town of Lt. Vernon, shows location of suilding farcels, 1940 & 1950 Formaisals	1" = 501	18
	D-12-29.11	КР	Sanborn saps, town of Sedro Toolley, shows location of Building Parcels, 1940 & 1950 Am reisals	1" = <01	12
	K-2-76	OP	Sketch, Delta control Survey (1930) shows Triangulation Station locations & Descriptions	2" = 1 mi.	1
	к-2-63		Delta Furrey, Skagit Fiver, Flane Table Survey by Greeley, 1930	2" = 1 mi.	1
6	к-2-93	OP FS Fos.	Flane Table Survey, Avon by-Fass, 1930	1" = 7001	6 13
	K-2-102	OP	Sullivan Slough Alternate Diversion Plan. Field sketch to accornany Field Book S-1 (file 4.92) by B. C. Long, 1930	1" = 1000"	
	K-2-106	OP	Skagit Viver Schography from Newhalem to Sedro Scolley (1932) Slate No. 2	2" = 1 mi.	1
	K-2-107	CP	Skagit liver Topography (b.).E.D. 1932) Flate No. 3, shows all areas of Skagit Valley below Sedro Foolley	1" = 1 mi.	1
	0/2 <b>-</b> 3 Fe	ard copy	1930 Skagit hiver Survey by Greeley	1. = 700.	4 rolls

# AEPIAL PHOTOS

<b>≥-6-56</b>	Index to Aerial Photos flown 1947-1948, Skagit River, Hamilton to mouth	1" = 1 mi.	4
E-6-6-56	1947-1948 annial Photos, Skagit River, Area as above	1" = 1760'±	
C.W.Br. 5-21	1937 Aerial Photos, Skagit River with 1940 Appraisal Data	1" = 1030'±	

Skagit River Map Reference (continued)

# U.S.G.S. QUADELNOLE MAPS

File No.	De	scription	Edition	Scale
6	Mt. Vernon	Cuad.	1911	1" = 1 m.i.
7	Stillaguarish	п	1937	1" = 2 mi.
40	Mt. Baker District		1915	1" = L mi.
43	Samish Lake	u		1" = 4000"
LIJA	m 11	n	1942	1" = 1 mi.
130	Film reproduction & field copy from Was Samish Lake Quad.	B.P. of U.S.G.S. original hington, B. C. office.		1" = 2 mi.
48	Lickersham Quad.		1921	1" = 1 mi.
161A	Mt. Vernon "		1943	1" = 1 mi.
1618	n n n			1" = 1000'
1610	Film reproduction & field copy from "as Lt. Vernon Guad.	B.P. of U.S.G.S. original hingtin, D. J. office.		1" = 4000'
173	Clear Lake Quad.		1944	1" = 1 mi.

# MISCELLANFOUS REFERENCE NOTES IN ACCOPTESS BINDERS

File No.	Description	Year
4-5c	Hilleboe Survey N.C. of Burlington. Filed in Map Records Section.	1940
4-118.1	Hilleboe Survey. Stadia Shot Mos. on air photo strips. Nos. correspond with shot nos. in field books. Area N.K. of Burlington. Filed in Map Records Section with field books. 4-118	1940
7-9	Flood of 27-29 Nov. 1949. Flood emergency data including air pictures and map of flooded area. Filed in C.W. Br. Files.	1949

Skagit River hap efference (continued)

### JOB FIELD BOOKS

File No.	No. Books	Description	Year	Pathon
4-135	8	Levee Survey. Horiz. & Vert. Control. Includes tie to gages.	1950	Rice
4-136	2	Levee Survey. Typical Cross Sections	1950	
4-137	1	Dodge Valley. Horiz. & Vert. Control and Cross Sections	1950	н
4-138	2	Check Levels, Conway to La Conner	1950	n
4-143	14	Levels. Eldg. Floor Elevations for Flood Damage Appraisal.	1949	Rice Nhipple
		Area 1ABook 14Area 1G" 12, 13Avon" 9Blanchard" 10Burlington" 1, 2, 3Clear Lake" 11Conway" 8Edison" 10La Conner" 7Et. Vernon" 6Sedro Koolley" 4, 5		•
4-144	4	1949 H.W. Elevitions from Fockport to mouth of Skagit River	1949 1950	Hice
4-145	1	1949 H.W. Elevations. H.V. Warks #15 to #27 inclusive	1950	

Skagit River Map Reference (continued) HR: 17 Jet 50

### REFERENCE FIELD BOOKS

File No.	No. Books	Description	Year	Party Chief
308-35.26	1	Skagit River Monuments & References	1929	0'Leary
308-35.27	3	Skagit Survey Levels	1929	Donlin
308-35.29	36 '	Noriz. & Vert. Control, Lower Skagit River	1929	Eildebrandt
4-92	l	Stodia Trave-se. Regit River Diversion, Avon to La Conner	1930	B.J.Long
4-94	2	Levels, Skagit Delta	1930	B.C.Long
4-111	4	Foriz. & Vert. Sontrol, Skagit Delta	1930	E.C.Long
4-114	2	1935 H.W. Elevations	1935	3.3. Sorey
4-118	5	Levels, Micinity of Burlington (Also see L-118.1 for location of shot nos.)	1940	T.Hilleboe
4-119	5 .	Levels, between Burlington & Mt. Vernon	1940	Ladison E.Trigve
5-114 2	of 18	Levels, Swinomish Slough	1932	0'leary
5-119	1	Levels, Swinomish Slouth	1936 1937	C'lea <b>ry</b>
5-129	1 of 2	Levels, Swinomish Slough Dredging	1939	alleary



Skagit River Hap Feference (continued)

### REFERENCE RAILROAD PROFILES

File No.	Failroad	Description
6-62	N.P. Hy.	Sumas Branch Line. Biglake to Hoogdale
G-66 (in 2 parts)	G.N. Fy.	Fockport - Anacortes Branch Line. Fockport to Thitmarsh
5-67 (in 2 parts)	G.N. Hy.	Vancouver Branch Line. Stanwood to Belleville
G <b>-68</b>	G.N. Ry.	Vancouver Branch Line. Belleville to Samish Bay

### KIVER PROFILES

File No.	Kind	Description
E-5-6-62	0.P.	<pre>Overbank Flood Frofiles, Skagit Hiver for 120,000 - 210,000 - 300,000 &amp; h00,000 c.f.s. discharges. Scale: Horiz. 2" = 1 mi. Vert. 1" = 5' (Testby - 1949)</pre>
E-f-6-65	0.F.	<pre>Profile Matural Channel, Skagit Miver for 120,000 - 210,000 - 300,000 &amp; 200,000 c.f.s. discharges. Scale: Moriz. 2" = 1 mi. Vert. 1" = 5' (Testby - 1949)</pre>



FLOOD CONTROL ECONOLIC JUSTIFICATION STUDY AVON BY-PASS AND EXTENTION OF DIKES TO SEDRO WOOLLEY

APPRAISAL OF DAMAGES 1815 H.W. AND 1921 H.W.

SKAGIT RIVER WEST OF AND INCLUDING SEDRO WOOLLEY

SAMISH RIVER DELTA

VOLUME I

GENERAL APPRAISAL DATA AND MAPS

DECEMBER 1940



-

.

1

INDEX TO VOLUME I

Index to Volume II	Page 4
Index to Volume III	6
Index to Volume IV	ÅA
Appraisal Field Report	11
Appraisals	11
Appraisal Notes	11
Appraisal Field Maps	12
Sanborn Maps	12
Aerial Photographs	12
Topographic Surveys	13
U.S.G.S. Quadrangle Sheets	13
Elevation Datum	13
Elevations	13
Elevation of Gages - Skagit River	13
Water Stages Skagit River	14
Drainage Districts	14
Diking Districts	14
Present Dikes	14
1815 H.W. (Max.) Appraisal Elevations	15
1815 H.W. Appraisal Limits	16
Tide Data and Conversion M.L.L.W. to M.S.L.	16
1921 H.W. Appraisal Elevations	16
Town Appraisals	16
Appraisal Elevations for Towns	17
Appraisal of Business Establishments	17
Appraisal of Dwellings in Towns	17
Appraisal of Buildings in Rural areas	17
Land Appraisals	17
Crop Damages Due to Salt Water (Tide Water)	18 Ham
Extent and Character of Area Appraised	18
Area Appraised	18
Value and Productivity	18
Land Values	19
Increased Value of Land if Protected	19
Fotential Higher Value Land	19
Industries	19
Agriculture	19
Soil	19
Damages	19
Damage Relationship Curve (See page 22)	19
Erosion 1815 H.W. (Max. H.W.)	20
Mountain Flood Water Erosion	20
Tidal Erosion	20
Showing Relationship between Damages and Discharge	
of Skagit River near Sedro Woolley	22

Page Recapitulation of Damages (Includes Nookachamps Creek & Clear Lake Areas). 1921 H.W. & 1815 H.W. 25 Recapitulation of Damages (Exclusive of Nookachamps Creek & Clear Lake Areas). 1921 H.W. & 1815 H.W. 25 Diking Districts Assessment Data Used in Determining 1921 H.W. Per Cent of 1815 H.W. 27 Annual Assessment Data for Diking Districts 28 Average Land Values by Diking Districts 33 Farm Land Sales Data 56 Assessed Valuations by School Districts of Area Appraised 37 Summary of Townships - Damages and Land - (Damages Exclusive of Miscellaneous Items) 39 Appraisal of Damages - Miscellaneous Items - 1815 d.W. 40 HRPL Navigation (Includes 1921 H.W. Damages) Dikes 41 JAKK, Drainage Systems & Tide Gates 43 JAR. Highway Bridges 44 111.11 Railroad Bridges 46 48 5 5. Highways & Roads Railroads Other than Bridges 49 HAN 51 Traffic Interruptions - Highways 11 11 - Stage & Auto Freight Lines 11 Traffic 55 - Railroads 56 11 Wire Lines 59 J.M. Weed Damage to Tillable Land 62 .' Livestock Appraisal (Includes 1921 H.W. Damages) 63 11 65 Appraisal Factors Land & Cron Classification Damage Factors Used in Apprais.66 Detail Sheet Hay-Alfalfa 67 12 11 68 Hay-Timothy-Clover 11 11 69 Seed Turnips & Rutabagas 11 \*\* 70 Seed Cabbage Abbreviations Used 71 72 Explanation of Dwelling Flood Damage Tables Table of Sq. Ft. Area for Small, Meaium & Large Dwellings 73 Table of Dwelling Flood Damage Factors - Good Construction74 11 11 " -Low Cost 11 11 11 11 75 .. 11 11 11 11 " - Cheap 11 76 77 Furniture Values of Cheap, Low Cost & Good Constn. Dwgs. 78 Dwelling Valuation Table for Buildings That Would Float 79 Power Lines Livestock Valuation 80



Apprai Tow Tow Com Toy Com Toy Com	isal Form wiship Summercial Section S reage Sum stion Sum	ns ummary Buildi Summary umary umary-1 ' -1	of Far ngs of Ci and and	m Prope ty Bloc i Crops ments &	rties ks Misc	- Buil	dings us Ite	ms	81 62 83 84 85 86 87 88
Index	to serie	al Phot	ograph	s by Se	ction,	, Towns	hip &	Range	90
R]evat	tion of U	J.S.C.	& G.S.	Bench	harks				96
Elevat mer Elevat	tion Ties nts A-400 tion Data	s to Gr 0 & A-4 2 U.S.G	eat No. 10	rthern Clear I	Railr	oad Bri N.P.R.	dge & R. Bri	Momi-	102 102A
								-0-	
liscel	llaneous	.ans a	nd Pro	files					103
Lap	of Lowe	er Port	ion of	Skagit	Rive	r - Fil	e #E-6	-4-2-8	104
	Glacier	· & Bak	er Riv	er Rahe	er Dis	stricts			105
	Sheet 7	A Tone	graphy	Skagit	; Flat	9			106
	U.S.G.S	. quad	rangle	Samish	Lake				107
		1		licker	sham				108
11	**			Lount	Verno	n			109
	Skagit	Delta	Plane	Table .	urvey	1930 -	Sheet	: 1	110
a.	н	11	÷1	"			u	2	111
	"	11	11	11	.0	**		3	112
7.8			18		11	**	11	4	113
						**	11	5	114
. 17	.11	18	11	11	н		n	6	115
	Diking	Listri	cts						116
	A	Tabula	tion 1	umbers					117
	avon 3	-Pass		THE STREET					119
	Frofile	a alien	ment L	ines					120
Pro	ofile of	alignm	ent Li	nes A a	nd B				121
100	11 11	"	11	С.	D&E				122
				F					123
	11 11	Fich &	Low W	ater Sk	seit	River G	.N.R.R		124
		G	R. Ska	eit Riv	ter Cr	ossing			125
7. Pr	3lock	Index T	own of	Burlin	eton				126
11	11	11	11 11	Clear	Lake				127
**	**		11 11	Conver					1274
	11		11 11	La Co	nner				128
				Mount	Vern	on			129
**	"		11 11	Sedro	Nool	ley			130
Skagi	t County	Audito	or's An	nusl Re	eport	Dec. 19	39		131

.

•

FLOOD CONTROL ECONONIC JUSTIFICATION STUDY

SKAGIT RIVER

### July 1950

# SUPPLEMENTA Aporaisal of Damages - 112,000 cfs., 210,000 cfs., 300,000 cfs., 400,000 cfs.

### INDEX TO VOLUME 5

Indices, Kap Reference, Summaries & Curves, General Data, Land Use & Crop Damages

														Page
Condensed Table of Index to Vicinity "	d Index t Contents Maps, Pr Map show	co Vol s rofile wing A	umes s & Fie Treas " &	eld Bo Surve	ooks	s Foutes								1 2 5 6 A
SUMLARIE Avera Sk Flood Sec of Duplic pa Grand	S ge Annual agit Rive Damages dro Wooll Samish I cate Copy ge where Summary	for 1 ley to River detai of Da	od Damag 921 H.W o mouth Summarie il of da amages,	ges fo N. (21 of Sk es p. amage Area	or a	all are 000 c.i it Rive -30 - H y be fo Right	eas .s. er i enc ound Ba	from ) fo: nclu il n nk.	Rockp r all ding O ote sh Inclu	Are	to as f flow vol 1G,	mouth rom Area ume &	of	7A 7C 8
1H by	(Mt. Ver Subareas	mon l	. of R:	iver)	, 11	K, 11,	ш,	& 1	N, wit	hb	reak	down		19
Grand in by	Summary clusive, Subareas	of Da 1H (1 5	mages, it. Vern	Area non E.	1, . oi	Left i f River	ank ),	, In & 1J	cludes , with	1A br	to eakd	lF own		24
Grand	Summary	of Da	mages,	Area	lK	, Avon	By-	Pass	(1800	)' S	trip	only)	)	28
- <b>H</b>	Ħ			n	.n	н	n	u	(2500	1 5	trip	only)	)	29
			n	n	n	u			(2900	)' s	trip	only)	)	30
Table Av	showing on By-Pa:	Damaa ss	ges for	vario	ous	Condit	ion	s &	R/W St	rip	s fo	r		31
Dischex	arge-Dam cluding	age Cu Subare	a 1K f	ta for or var	r An	rea 1, us R/V.	Rig	ht B ths	ank in	clu	ding	&		32
Grand	Summary	of Da	amages,	Area	2,	Samish	n Ri	ver	Valley					33
	π	n	n	Area	з,	Clear	Lak	e & 1	Nookac	ham	ps C	reek		34
	ч		"	Area	4,	Right	Ban	k, N	.E. of	Bu	rlin	gton		35
п	n	n		Chan	ne]	Area.	fro	m Hi	ghwav	3r1	dze	south		
of	Sedro	colley	to Ave	on By-	Pas	55					45-	- CHAINS		36

P 001945

9'A

Fleod Control Economic Justification Study	9 B
Skagit River Index to Volume 5, Summaries (Continued)	
······	
	- CLTC
Grand Summary of Damages, Channel Area from Avon By-Pass to mouth of Skagit River	37
Summary of Damages (Preliminary) Area - Sedro Woolley to Rockport (Including Channel Area)	38
Notes by J.S.C. on Basic Data for Flood Damage Curves, All Areas	39
Summary of Damages and explanation for determining Zero Damage, Channel Area - State Hwy. Bridge south of Sedro Moolley to Avon My-Pass	46
Summary of Damages and explanation for determining Zero Damage, Channel Area - Avon By-Pass to mouth of Skagit River	47
DAMAGE-FREQUENCY CURVES Flood Frequency Curve Flow Duration Curve Discharge Curve for Section 14, Upstream from N.F. Ry. Bridge	48 49 50
Damage-Frequency Curve, Area 1, Left Bank, 1950 Prices	51
Damage-Frequency Curve. " " Right Bank. " "	53
Discharge-Damage Curve, " " " "	54
Damage-Frequency Curve, Avon By-Pass, Area 1K	55
Discharge-Damage Curve " " " "	56
Average Annual Flood Damage Curve, Avon By-Pass, Area IK	57
Discharge Demage Curve, Area 2, Samish Valley, 1950 Frides	50
Damage-Frequency Curve, Area 3. Clear Lake & Nookachamps Creek	60
Discharge-Damage Curve. " " " " " " " "	61
" " " " " " " " (Supplemental	) 62
Damage-Frequency Curve, Area L, Right Bank N.E. of Burlington, 1950 Price	s 63
Discharge-Damage Curve, """"""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""	64
Damage-Frequency Curve, Channel Area only, from Sedro Woolley Hwy. Bridge to Avon By-Pass, 1950 Prices	65
Discharge-Damage Curve, Channel Area only, from Sedro Woolley Hwy. Bridge to Avon By-Pass, 1950 Prices	66
Damage-Frequency Curve, Channel Area only, from Avon By-Pass to mouth of Skagit River, 1950 Prices	67
Discharge-Damage Curve, Channel Area only, from Avon By-Pass to mouth of Skagit Fiver, 1950 Frices	68
Damage-Frequency Curve, Sedro Woolley to Rockport, Rough Estimate, 1950 Pr	· 69 70

### GENERAL DATA

COMPUTATION FOR DAMAGE-FREQUENCY CURVES - ALL AREAS	70A-70K
Present Market Values of Real Estate, based on assessed valuation - 1815 Flood Plain for Skagit County	72
Marked Map of Skagit County showing boundaries of School Districts and Diking Districts	73

Flood Control Economic Justification Study Skagit hiver Index to Volume 5, General Data (Continued) a. e Summary of 1949 Estimated Assessed Valuation of School Districts Copy of Letter 3 Lar. 1950 requesting U.S.G.S. \_uadrangle Sheets for 80 Lt. Vernon & Samish Lake Table showing Corparisons of 1949 H.A. Elevations with present Levee System, Burlington to saltwater 81 Notes on Building Group Count from U.S.D.A. Aerial Photographs 83 Reference Elevations used in 1950 Flood Damage Appraisal 43 Skagit River High & Low Tide Observations, Feb. - Mar. 1950, by Rice 88 Equation of River Gages, Skagit Liver 96 U.S.G.S. Gaging Stations descriptions 98 U.S.G.S. Rating Curves, Skegit River 108 Memo dtd. 11 Jan. 1949 re: Appraisal Work, Field Surveys, on Skagit Fiver 110 Check Levels, Lower Skagit Valley, vicinity of Conway & La Conner 112A Description of Levee Survey 113A " Levels for Flood Damage Appraisals 113B Feport of Land Damage in Skagit Soil Conservation District, Nov. 1949 HW 114 Preliminary Estimate of Flood Damages from Rockport to Skagit Fiver mouth 116 Copy of Letter dtd. 21 Oct. 1949 to U.S.G.S. requesting Field Notes on Topographic Survey, Mt. Vernon Cuadrangle 117 Mt. Vernon Quadrangle file No. 161A, Marked boundary Limits of Area for Appraisal Survey 119 Correspondence with H. C. Kalberg, County Road Engineer, Skagit County, re: Skagit County Report on Flood Control & Later Fesources 120 List of Soil Conservation Service Land Use Conservation Survey aerial

photographs of Skagit Fiver Valley & Correspondence used in 1950 Appraisal Fork

Legends for Land Use used by Soil Conservation Service on their aerial photographs

### LAND & CROPS

0. +7	Lingine	ers snee	c num	Jera	, use		950 Appra	arsar		1)(1
2011	Conserv	ation Se	rvice	Ae	mai P	notogr	aphs - 11	ndex	and the second second	130
Crop	Damage	Summary,	Area	1,	Left	Bank,	112,000	c.f.s.,	1949 H.W.	139
- 11-	11	11	π	п		n	210,000	c.f.s.,	1921 H.T.	140
u	n	n	n	Ħ	Fight	Bank,	n		n n	141
		TT I			n	11	300,000	c.f.s.		142
n	11	N	n	- 11			400,000	c.f.s.		143
Crop	Summary	, acres.	Area	IK	: 1800	1, 250	01, 2900	' Altern	ate Widths	144
Crop	Damage	Summary,	Π		17	n		n	n	145
			Area	2;	210.0	00 c.f				146
	17	n	11	11	300.0	00 c.f	.s.			147
11	n		W.	n	400.0	00 c.f				148
**	**	19	Area	3:	210.0	00 c.f	.5.			149
11		w	10	11	300.0	00 c.f	.5. & 40	0.000 c.	f.s.	150
57	12	u	Area	4:	210.0	00 cfs	300.0	00 cfs	& 400.000 cfs.	151
Land	Use Det	ails & C	rop D	ama	es. C	hannel	Area. A	von By-P	ass to	
St	tate Hwy	. Bridge				Const. 64				152
Land	Use Det	ails. Con	ment	5 01	Clas	sifica	tions			152
T	e Terre		Tand		1					7.1

P 001947

129

Flood C	ontr	ol Econom	ic	Justificat	tion Stud	У				9 D
Index t	o Vol	lume 5, L	and	& Crops	(Continue	d)				
										Page
Crop Map Coun	land of Si ty A	by Commun kagit Coun gent's Re	nit nty por	ies, compi , showing t, 1949 Cr	iled from communit; rop Produc	A	.A.A. r boundar ion, Sk	eport ies agit	SCounty	157 158 159
A.A.,	A. E:	xtension .	cir	cular, Foo	d Produc	ti	on Goal	s for	1945, for	
C	ount	ies in St.	ate	of Washin	ngton					160
Unit	Cro	Damage	Det	ails by va	rious cr	op	s			161
Land	Use	Details,	by	Section,	Township	å	Range,	Area	1A, 1949 H.W.	195
	n				"	a	n	H	" 1921 H.E.	196
n	n	"	п	n	Ħ	n	19	Area	18, " "	197
a	11		n		11		н	11	" Entire Area	198
n	п	n	n		u u		n	Area	1C, 1949 HW & 1921 HW	199
	n		n		n	11		Area	1D " " " " "	200
11	n	н	u		Ħ	u	n	Area	1E, 1921 H.W.	201
11	11		n	n	n	11		Area	1F, 1949 HW & 1921 HW	202
н	n	12	11			**	11	Area	1G, All Floods	203
n	n		n		п	n	n	Area	1J, 1921 H.W.	209
11	п	n		11	17	11	11	Area	1K. 1800'R/W-All Floods	210
n	**	- 12			п	n		Ħ	" 2500'R/" " "	211
11	11	11	19		n	=	11	H.	" 2900'R/T " "	212
15	.19	u	n		1	11		Area	1L. All Floods	213
	18	n	n		17	11	11	Area	1. " "	214
11	u	11	п		11	=	n	Area	1N. " "	215
12	11		11	<b>11</b>		Ħ	π	Area	2. 1921 H.W.	216
19	#	n	19			11	11	17	". 300.000 ofs.	218
12	11			n				<b>#</b>	", 100,000 cfs.	219
		n	11	. 11		17	н	Amea	3. 19/9 HW & 1921 HW	220
			72			-		n	" 300,000%/00,000 efs	221
		π						Area	h. All Floods	222
Land	Use	Details	Sim	marized f	rom Soil	3	INSATUS	tion	Service Aerial Photos	
(:	104 1	pages)		and the the t						223



11

### FLOOD CONTROL ECONOMIC JUSTIFICATION STUDY AVON BY-PASS AND EXTEMPTION OF DIRES TO SEDRO WOOLLEY

APPRAISAL OF DALAGES SKAGIT RIVER WEST OF AND INCLUDING JEDRO COLLEY AND SAMISH RIVER DELTA 1921 H.W. and 1815 H.W.

### VOLUME I December 1940

### APPRAISAL FIELD REPORT

1. This appraisal of the Skagit River and Samish River Deltas is an estimate of damages that would result from a flood of equal magnitude and duration as the one of December, 1921, under present conditions. It is based on a peak discharge of 210,000 cu. ft. per sec. of the Skagit River near Sedro Woolley.

2. An appraisal was also made of the theoretical damages that would be caused, under present conditions, by a flood of equal proportions to the one that is said to have occurred in about 1815. It has been estimated that the discharge of the Skagit River reached a volume in 1815 of 400,000 cu. ft. per sec. near Sedro Tooley. The year of the flood and the volume of discharge were determined by Mr J.E. Stewart, Hydraulic Engineer of the U.S.G.S., after an analysis and study of all data and evidence available. The estimated discharge volume of 400,000 cu. ft. per sec., as determined by Mr Stewart, was nearly a maximum. However, he also reached the conclusion that there had been prior to 1815 several floods approximately as large, or perhaps somewhat greater. (See Report J.E. Stewart 1923)

3. It is assumed that the above discharge volume of the 1921 H.W. and 1815 H.M. would be attained in winter sometime during the months of November, December, January or February. All damages, especially crop damages, in this appraisal have been based on the above assumption.

4. The appraisal of the 1921 dignwater has been indicated in the notes as "1921 H.V."

5. The appraisal of the 1815 Highwater has been indicated in the notes as "1615 H.W." or "Max. H.W."

6. Appraisals: The appraisal field work was commenced Sept. 12, 1940, and completed Dec. 14, 1940.

7. The field work was supervised by Mr Harry R. Madison. Appraisors were Messers. Thomas Levan, Chas. Holt, Willard Whipple and Einar Trigve. The field office was located in the Pierce Arcade 31dg., Mount Vernon, Wasn.

8. Appraisal Notes: The notes are contained in four volumes, Volumes I to IV. (a) Volume I (this volume) contains the general appraisal data, maps, appraisal note of miscellaneous items and appraisal factors and forms used. The Recapitulation of Damages of the entire area appraised is shown on page 23, this volume. The Recapitulation of Damages of the area that would be directly benifited by the Avon By-Pass and the extension of dikes to Sedro Woolley appears on page 25, also this volume.



(b) Volume II contains the appraisal notes of the following townships: TSIN-R2E TSIN-R3E 12

T33N-R4E T36N-R3E

(c) Volume III contains the appraisal notes of the following towns.ips: T34N-R2E T34N-R3E T34N-R4E T34N-R5E

(d) Volume IV contains the appraisal notes of the following townships: T35N-R2E T35N-R3E T35N-R4E T35N-R5E

9. <u>Appraisal Field Maps</u>: These consist of eight sheets, numbered 1 to 8, on a scale of 1" = 1000' and one index sheet, numbered sheet A, on a scale of 1" = 1 mi. The map is titled "Topography - Skagit River Flats". Sheets 1 to 4, inclusive, were surveyed by T.H. Hilleboe in 1940. Since only a portion of the flood plain was mapped, the map was extended by tracing in aerial photo mosaic of the balance of the area. The mosaic was assembled without picture point control. The scale of the sheets traced from the air pictures is approximately 1" = 1030'. The U.S.E.D. file number of this map is E-6-6-1.

10. In addition to the "Topography - Skogit River Flats" map, a six sheet planetable map on a scale of 1" = 400' was used. The title of this map is "Skagit Delta Survey 1930" The U.S.E.D. file number is K-2-93. The map covers a strip about to 1 mi. wide from near the Great Northern Railway crossing of the Skagit Rive to Padilla Bay. A photo copy of this map is included on pages 110 to 115 this volume.

11. Small sized maps were also drawn of each of the principal towns appraised. These maps show the ground elevation of street intersections and the appraisal elevations for both 1921 H.W. and 1815 H.W. The maps are filed in this volume pages 126 to 130.

12.	Sanborn Maps:	were used in	all	towns for	which	h they	were	availab	le, nam	ely:
	and the second	Burlington	4	sheets	U. 5	E.D. F	TILE N	2 D-12-	29-8	
		La Conner	3	n		fs	-	4	9	
		Mount Vernon	1.8	11					10	
		Sedro Woolley	12		*	Ø		n . n	11	
Thes	e maps are for	the most part	on a	scale of	50*	= 1".	The	U.3.E.D.	file m	unbers
18 1	RE SHOWN ABOU	E TO RIGHT								

13. Aerial Photographs: The entire area appraised wis photographed from the air in Oct. 1937 by Brubaker Aerial Surgeys. The direct contact prints, on a scale of approximately 1030' = 1", were used. These direct contact prints were assembled into a mosaic. The section lines were drawn on the pictures in yellow, the township lines in brown. The section numbers are shown in bright red. The 1815 H.W. apprxixix appraisal limits are also shown in bright red. The 1815 H.W. apprxixix appraisal limits are also shown in bright red. The approximate 7' contour, or the limit of salt water at ordinary high tide in the event of the failure of the salt water dikes, is shown in purple. The dikes have been emphasized by a heavy black line. Tillable Special Land not otherwise classified has been shown on the photos in vermillion red. Lands thus colored contain crops during the flood period of November, December, January and February. Further data regarding land classific appears under the heading of "Extent and Character of Area Appraised".

14. A complete index of the aerial photographs by Section, Township and Range has been included on the HOWY page. 90.

16. Topographic Surveys:: There was no general topographic survey made of the area, except sheets 1 to 4, inclusive, of the map entitled "Tomography - Skagit River Flat and the six plane table sheets entitled "Skagit Delta Survey 1930"

17. The U.S.G.S. Quadrangle Sheets covering the appraised area are Samish Lake Guadrangle, Wickersham Guadrangle and Mount Vernon Guadrangle. A copy of each of these maps has been included on pages 107 to 109.

18. Elevations: All elevations used in this appraisal are M.S.L. on U.S.C.& G.S. datum adjusted to North America 1929 network, EXCEPT TOWN OF LA CONNER, WHICH IS M.L.L.W.

19. A line of levels equivalent to first order work was run entending from U.S.C. & G.S. B.M. no. Z6 = No. 20 - U.S.G.S. located near the Great Northern Railway depot in Lount Vernon, along the G.N.R.R. to U.S.C. & G.S. Bench Mark no. E13 located at the Burlington National Bank in Burlington. A return loop of this level line was run via U.S. Highway #99 to B.M. No. 26 in Mount Vernon. (See field book file number 4-119 (5 Of 5).

20. An elevation tie was made from the above level line to U.S.E.D. momument number A-400 located on top of dike about  $l_{\pm}^{\perp}$  mis. N.E. of the G.N.R.R. bridge across Skagit River. A tie was also made to U.S.E.D. momument A-410 located on top of dike 1000' west of Skagit River bridge - U.S. Highway #99. A tie to the Great Northern Railway datum was made at the Great Northern drawbridge, Skagit River crossing. The result of these ties, showing elevations and equations, is shown on page 102, this volume. The elevations are also shown in field book file number 4-119 (5 of 5).

21. A level loop was run from U.S.C. & G.S. B.M. No. Q61 located about 350' west of the Great Northern depot in Sedro Woolley to the Skagit River bridge on the Clear Lake Road, thence to the N.P.R.R. Skagit River crossing and return to B.M. No. Q61 via the N.P.R.R.

22. Levels were run from the above loop at the N.F.R.R. Skagit River bridge to U.S.G.S. - P.B.M. 77RS 1940 located in the northern end of the town of Clear Lake. (See letter page 102A) This line of levels was extended about 2 mis. south of the town of Clear Lake into the East Fork of Nookachamps Valley.

23. Levels were run in the towns of Furlington, Clear Lake, Conway, La Conner, Maint Vernon and Sedro Woolley. In most cases the elevation of street intersections only wase obtained, altho the floor level of most large buildings, such as warehouses, factories and some store buildings, wase obtained. Firehydrants, wherever available in the towns, were used for temporary bench marks. (See field books file number 4-119 (1 to 5). All elegations are on adjusted closed loops.

24. Elevation of Gages: All elevations are U.S.C. & G.S. datum adjusted to North America 1929 network.

(a) N.P.R.R. bridge south of Sedro Woolley, zero of the old gage attached to piling east of the N.P.R.R. Skagit River bridge = 9.01 (This gage is out of plumb and is in bad condition)

(b) G.N.R.R. Skagit River bridge south of Burlington, zero of gage attached to fender pier west of bridge = 8.43'.

(c)	Mount	Vern	on - :	four	: gage	es i	nh	ount Ve	rnon	as follow: (SEE	FIELD BOOK
	Ð.	ŧ.	Zero	of	gage	on	Cit	y Dock	= -	0.06	4-119 (2.57 5/
	A	-	11	11	n	PT	OF	MYRTLE	ST.	12'So. OF BLPG = 3.69	3
	B	*			**				H	SILINE PR BLOG = 3.19	
	c	-		**	17				*	30. So. OR BLOG = 3.74	

13

25. The field books for elevation ties to gages are filed under number 4-119 books ( ) of 5), (3 of 5) and (5 of 5).

• 00	nater of	Lages of	LUBIU .	LIVEL .	Ince	1101 He S	pagesizi	Crest Stage	Peak
							Gase	U.S.C.&G.S.	Discharge
	Locati	Lon				Flood	Reading	Datum-M.S.L.	C.S.F.
a) ]	N.P.R.R.	Skagit	River	Bridge		1815	33.5	54.6	400,000
						1856	30.0	51.1'	300,000
						1909	26.5	47.6	220,000
						1897	24.9	46.0'	190,000
						1896	24.8	45.9	185,000
						1906	24.7	45.8	180,000
						1921	24.3	45.4	210,000
						1917	24.1	45.2	195,000
						1932	21.1	42.2	
				SA	Zero	Damage	17.9	39.0	110,000
b) (	G.N.R.R.	Skagit	River	Bridge		1815	33.0	a. 41.5	
						1856		b.	
						1909	29.6	38.1	1000
						1921	29.3	c. 37.K4	140,000
						1932	28.2	36.7	
						1924	25.5	34.0	
					Zero	Dainage	25.5	34.0	
c) ]	Mount Ver	rnon Ci	ty Doci	c Gage		1815		d. 29.5	
						1856		е.	
						1921		27.11	
						1932		26.7	
					Zero	Damage		25.0	

Footnotes: (a) J.E. Stewart estimated 48.1, but this elevation is too high, according profile on page 121

(b) Stewart estimated 44.5, but this elevation is also too high. See profile page 1

(c) The elevation shown is within the diked channel. The 1921 H.W. outside of diked channel was 36.5.

(d) Stewart estimated 35.5, but this is too high, according to profile, 123.

(e) " " 32.0, but this is too high. " "

27. Drainage Districts: There are eleven organized drainage districts within the appraised area. See page43 for damages to drainage systems.

28. Diking Districts: There are 23 organized diking districts in Skagit County, all of which are within the appraised area. The boundaries of these districts are shown on the map on page 116. A complete tabulation of annual assessment levies for all districts from 1916 to 1940, inclusive, is shown on the mert page.28.

29. Present Dikes: Nearly all of the delta areas of both the Skagit and Samish Rivers are protected from salt water at high tide and river water at ordinary high water stage by a system of dikes. The salt water dikes, as they are generally ed, extend around the lower edges of both deltas. The river dikes extend along the

14

Skagit River and its forks from its mouth to the east side of the town of Burlington. These river dikes have been built on both sides of the channel and differ from the salt water dikes inasmuchas they are usually of heavier construction. The river dikes have mostly a 10' width on top, while the salt water dikes are but 3' on top. See page 41 under the heading of "Damage to Dikes" for additional information on dikes On this page are two typical cross section views of salt water dikes.

30. The river channel with the present system of dikes will not carry safely a volume much beyond 110,000 c.s.f. This is but little more than ordinary high water. A discharge volume of 110,000 c.s.f. has been used in this appraisal as zero damage. A flood of 1921 proportions would break thru the dikes at most any point offering the least resistance.

31. 1815 H.W. (Max.) Appraisal Elevations: The 1815 H.W. appraisal elevations are based on a discharge volume of 400,000 cu. ft. per Sec. Ar J.E. Stewart found evidence that a flood, which he determined occurred in 1815, reached a high water elevation of 54.6' at Sedro Woolley.

32. Profiles were plotted starting with the 1815 H.V. elevation of 54.6' at the N.P.R.R. bridge south of Sedro Voolley and extending to the salt water dikes at the lower end of the Skagit River Delta as well as the Samish River Delta. (See profiles Page 121to 123). The alignment of these profiles has been projected on sneet 2 of Skagit River topography, page 120 this volume. The 1815 H.V. profiles follow, on an average, gbout 5' above the general contour of the land, tapering off to a foot above the salt water dikes located at the lower edge of the Skagit and Samish River Deltas. The 1815 H.W. elevations obtained from the profiles were plotted on the 1" = 1000' field sheets along the profile alignment lines. Equal 1815 H.W. elevations on the various profile alignment the connected. The resulting grid formed the basis for the 1815 H.W. or Maximum appraisal elevations.

33. The terminal 1815 H.7. elevation at the salt water dikes, of profile lines A,3, and E is 9.0' M.S.L. - U.S.C.& G.S. Datum. Profile line C terminates at 8.5' and lines D and F at 10.0'. Following are diagrams showing a typical cross section of the salt water dikes:



Dike on Sullivan Slough  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile east of La Conner

Dike on Samish River Slough 1 mile SW of Edison 34. The 1815 A.W. Appraisal Limits: Are shown in bright red on the derial photos,
the 1" = 1000' field mays, the U.S.G.S. quadrangle sheets on pages 107 to 109, this volume, and on sheet A page 106, also this volume.

7.93 M.L.L.W. HIGH TIDE JCT 29 1940 4.68 MICL HOTE: AT SENTTLE THE Tice (10/29/40) WAS 1.2' BELOW HIGHET TIE OF 7.93 TERO M.S.L. YEAN JAN 27-28, 14: (SEE AUGITIONAL GALA 3.25 (APHINAL V PAGE 20, THE MEN YZERO MILLW

Sketch showing conversion from M.L.L.W. to M.S.L. at highest tide in 1940.

Additional data on tides at La Conner is shown in the paragraph "Tidal Erosion" on page 20 this volume.

36. 1921 H.W. Appraisal Elevations: Were obtained from various sources. Some were obtained from the Great Northern Railway profiles, page124 and 125 this volume. Others from local residents. Except for the towns, no 1921 H.W. appraisal was actually made. Even if time had permitted making a detailed 1921 H.W. appraisal its worth would be questionable since a flood of equal magnitude as of 1921 ... under present conditions might break thru the dikes at any point, as previously stated, thus creating a condition entirely different from that of the 1921 flood. Therefore, it was deemed more practical to work out the 1921 1. W. damages as a percentuge of the 1815 H.W. damages. This percentage was determined by using the Diking Districts Annual Assessment data, shown on xxx page 27 ., as a guide. The difference between the annual assessment levies for 1921 and 1922 reflects the damage sustained by the various districts by the 1921 flood. The levies are not in direct proportion to the damages, however. In addition, a ratio between the 1921 H.W. and the 1815 H. ... damages was obtained in the towns appraised. Since both the 1921 and 1815 H.W. damages were appraised in all the towns, this ratio could be readily determined. Here too, however, the dam-ge relation between the 1921 H.W. and the 1815 H.W. in towns as compared to farm areas is not the same. Therefore, by using all of the above data as a guide, a per cent factor was worked out for each district. This factor was applied to each district within the township in direct proportion to the area of that district within the township. These portions of districts in the townships were then totaled and an average per cent factor for the township was obtained. It will be noted that in some districts there was no increase in levies between 1921 and 1922. From this it is assumed that there was no flood damage in these districts in 1921. This feature h s been included in the calculations used in obtaining the average per cent factor for the township.

37. Town Appraisals: The following towns were appraised in detail for 1921 H.W. and 1815 H.W. damages:

Avon	(See map page112this volume)	
Blanchard	( " Sheet 1 - Topography - Skagit River Flats)	
Burlington	( " map page 26 this volume)	
Clear Lake	(" " " 127 " "	
Conway	( " " " 127A " "	

16

Edison (See Sheet 1 - Topography -Skagit River Flats) La Conner (" Map page/128 this volume) Mount Vernon (See map page/129/this volume) Sedro Woolley (" " " 130 " "

38. Appraisal elevations for these towns are indicated on the maps listed above. Elevation of street intersections are also shown on these maps.

39. Business establishments were appraised on Form #9, a copy of which has been included on page 84. In many cases, floor level elevations were obtained, otherwise the floor level elevation was determined from street intersection elev tions. The number of days loss of business and pay loss to employees was determined by adding 1 day for each five-tenths of a foot of water over the floor level plus 1 day. Thus, if an establishment had 2 ft. of water over the floor the number of days loss equals 5 days.

40. Town Dwelling Appraisals: Were mode on the typed form shown on page 85 . In this form, dwellings and sheds, etc., in each block were classified and tabulated. The average floor elevation of dwellings in the block was determined from the street intersection elevations. This was not an accurate method of appraisal, but the average result can be expected to be fair.

41. For flood damages to dwellings see tables of Good, Low Cost and Cheap Construction on pages 72 to 76. Other tables have been set up on page for dwellings that would float or be tetally destroyed.

42. Appraisal of Buildings in Rural Areas: No topographic data or elevations were available for most of the areas outside of the towns. In order to obtain an estimate of flood damages to buildings, the aerial photographs were resorted to. The air pictures clearly show each group of farm buildings. It is assumed that in the group there is one dwelling and perhaps three or four sheds. The balance of the buildings are usually barns. The size of the buildings can usually be roughly estimated. These farm buildings were summarized by sections and tabulated on the typed Township Summary from shown on page 83 this volume. The depth of water was estimated from the profiles on pages 20 to 123 and all other topographic data available. This method of appraisal, altho far from being exact, was the best available for the time alotted.

43. Land Appraisals: An attempt was made to classify land from the data transcribed from the A.A.A. records. Of the total area of 79,802.4 acres, only 43,546.2 or 54.5% was tabulated by the A.A.A. records. The areas included in the A.A.A. records are shown by number on the map on page117 and tabulated by the same number on the Acreage Summary from #14, a sample of which is included on page 87.

44. Since only about half the acreage in the area to be appraised was classified by the A.A.A., a method using the aerial photographs was devised. It consisted of assembling the air pictures into a mosaic and mounting them on plywood. The mounted mosaic was then placed into a truck. Roads were spaced sufficiently close to enable classification of all areas from the car. The land classifications were placed directly on the mosaic. The areas were later planimetered. These areas were then tabulated by sections on the A.A.A. Acreage Summary forms and combined.



45. On page 66 are the Land and Crop Classifications and damage factors used in the appraisal. Detail sheets, showing the process of arriving at a damage factor for some of these crops are shown on pages 67 to 70 . No crop damages have b included for annual crops which would not be in the ground daring the four wint months of November thru February.

46. Crop Damages Due to Salt Water (Tide Water: 60% of all dikes along sult water would probably be damaged by a flood of 1615 .... proportions. The ciked land fronting tide water is from 4' to 62' below ordinary high tide (see diagram of dikes page 1 Salt water would flow inland over the diked farm lends for varying distances up to 21 mis. It is doubtful that the farmers living within these areas would be financially sble to reconstruct the dikes. With government assistance it would require at least from six to eight months to rebuild. In this length of time the ebb and flow of tides would do considerable harm to the land, principally from salt and erosion. It is reasonable to assume that no crops would be raised the following season after the failure of the dikes. Special crops, such as seed cabbage, seed turnips, alfalfa, fall grain, etc. would be damaged by flood water regardless of the failure of the salt water dikes, since these crops are in the ground during the winter flood period. No salt water damage has b en included for these crops as the damage to them has been taken into consideration previously under general flood camages. However, in the event of the failure of the salt water dikes, there could be no planting of crops within the zone affected by tide waters for at least one season. Therefore, salt water crop damage would be the loss of one crop classified as "Ordinary", such at spring planted grain, vegetables, hay, etc. This crop damage has been designated as "Salt Water Damage" in the notes on the "Section Summary - Land and Crops" form.

47. Extent and Character of Area Appraised: The area appraised to 1815 H.W. limits extends from the section line between section 19 and 20 and 29 and 30 in T35N-R5 cated on the east side of Sedro Woolley to the mouth of the Skagit River. It is all the Skagit River Delta, all of the Samish River Delta, and Nookachamps Creek Tower valley (see map on page106 this volume for boundaries of appraised area). Roughly, the area is 13 mis. wide and 17 mis. long.

(a) Area: The total area including Nookachamps Creek and Clear Lake area to 1815 H.W. limits is 79,802 acres.

(b) The area to 1815 H.W. limits, exclusive of Nookachamps and Clear Lake areas, and exclusive of all of T34N-R5E, all of sections 1,2,3,10,11,12,12,14,15 & 23 in T34N-R4E and exclusive of all of sections 26,35 & 36 in T35N-R4E, is <u>75,555</u> acres.

(c) The 1921 H.W. area has been estimated as 40% of 79,802 = 31,921 acres.

48. Character: The Skagit and Samish River Deltas have an extremely flat floor. The sides of the deltas are either bordered by tide water or b; moderately steep hill slop There are several island-like hills rising up out of the flat delta land. Some of the "islands" are small and have abrupt slopes, others are quite large, namely, Bayview Ridge and Pleasant Ridge, and have more moderate slopes. All of them project above th 1815 H.W. appraisal elevation. These high areas would afford some protection to flood refugees and livestock in a maximum flood.

49. Value and Productivity: The Skagit River Delta is considered as one of the most **Mighly** productive agricultural regions in the state of Washington. Practically all of the flat land is cleared and farmed. Originally some of the area was marsh land. According to information furnished by several of the oldest settlers, a heavy stand of cedar and spruce timber extended from the road between Fredonia and Pleasant to Mount Vernon.

50. Land values have been compiled and summarized by Diking Districts. The values are present market value. The tabulations are shown on page 35 to 56 this volume.

51. Increased Value of Land if Protected: (Potential Higher Value Land) No attempt has been made to determine the increase in value of land should complete protection be afforded. Nearly all land suitable for cultivation is utilized at the present time. Land classified and summarized under the heading of "Potential Higher Value Land" consists of the total of all tillable land, both Ordinary and Special It does not include waste land or non-tillable other than waste land. The total number of acres of Potential Higher Value Land is <u>64,299</u> acres. This total includes the Nookachamps Creek and Clear Lake Areas.

52. Industries: Dairying, poultry and stock raising, agriculture, fruit and vegetable canning, butter and cheese production, milk canning, fruit and vegetable packing and freezing, lumber and shingle manufacturing are the principal industries. Dairying is the leading and most profitable agricultural industry at present.

53. Agriculture: The principal agricultural crops are hay and pasture, oats, canning peas, potatoes, corn, berries, seed turnips, rutabagas, cabbage, and miscellaneous crops such as sugar beets, garden truck, fruit, wheat, etc. The importance of the crops is in the order named.

54. Soil: Nost of the delta bottom land of both the Skagit and Samish Rivers is composed of a fine silt loam developed from deposited river alluvium. The top soil extends to a depth of 4' in many localities, particularly at the lower edges of the deltas. It is generally of uniform texture and high in moisture retention ability. The soil in the Skagit River area ranks among the highest of the state in productive ability. It is not necessary to practice irrigation since there is ample rainfall and moisture to produce crops.

55. Damages: A recapitulation of damages of the entire area appraised, including Clear Lake and Nookachamps Valley areas is shown on page 23 . A recapitulation has also been made of the same area, but exclusive of Clear Lake and Nookachamps Valley as well as other areas on the Skagit River that would not be directly benefited by the Avon By-Pass and Extension of Dikes to Sedro Woolley. This latter recapitulation is shown on page 25 .

56. Damage Relationship Curves for both the above recapitulations have been drawn and included on page 22. These curves show the relationship between damages and discharge of the Skagit River near Sedro Woolley.

1:

11/19/40

### EROSION 1815 OR MAXIMUM H.W.

In this appraisal the damages resulting from all forms of erosion have been combined, i.e., complete loss of soil, bank cutting and land severance due to erosion have been combined with land scouring, erosion of top soil and deposition of sand and gravel. The Gause of these various forms of erosion may be either flood waters sweeping down from the mountains, or tidal floods due to the failure of the salt water dikes surrounding the extreme lower reaches of the Skagit River and Samish River deltas. The salt water dikes would be demolished by the run-off from the mountain flood water, which would then allow the tides to flow over land below high tide elevation. The areas below high tide protected by dikes on both the Skagit and Samish Rivers are considerable. On these lower areas, where the slope gradient is slight, the damages caused by erosion from mountain flood waters, except the breaking down of the dikes, are comparatively slight. On the other hand, the erosion damages caused by the ebb and flow of tides would increase as the dikes are approached. In order to more fully explain the erosion damages created by mountain flood water and those created by tidal flood water, each subject is dealt with separately, altho the damages of both have been combined.

Mountain Flood Water Erosion: The erosion damages caused by flood water sweeping down from the mountains would naturally be greatest near the Skagit River in the vicinity of Sedro Woolley, which is at the eastern limits of the area appraised. Here the Valley has a gradient of approximately 0.1%. As the lower edges of the delta are approached, the gradient flattens out to approximately 0.02%. Erosion damages for all tillable land have been averaged for each sect and vary from \$1 to \$15 per acre, depending upon the location of the section.

In the vicinity of Sedro Wooley, bank cutting, complete loss of soil and land severance by the forming of new river channels, would be heaviest, wherease in the lower reaches of the delta area, the deposition of sand and silt would be greatest. Over much of the lower area it is likely that the benifits due to the deposition of silt and humans matter, or enrichment of the soil, would outweigh the damages due to deposition of sand only. Due consideration has been given this feature in calculating erosion damage factors. Taken as a whole, over the entire area, the severest damage to the land, perhaps, would be the cutting of smail channels and gullies formed by the draining off of such a vast amount of flood water. The removal of top soil of newly plowed fields would also be extensive.

<u>Tidal Erosion:</u> In addition to the erosion damages caused by flood water sweeping down from the mountains, the erosion created by tidal flooding of the lower areas must also be taken into account. As previously stated, much of the lower delta land lies below high tide and is protected from salt water by dikes. These dikes are 6' to 8' high and are about 3' wide on top.

On October 29, 1940, high tide at the foot of Washington Street in La Conner was 7.93 Mean See Yevel. This was an actual wye level determination, using the U.S.C.&G.S. 193 Tidal Bench Mark#2elevation 11.03' M.L.LW, located at the La Conner Bank, as the datum. The elevation of high tide on this day (10/29/40) was 1.2' below the highest tide for the year of 1940IN SEATTLE. (SEE DIAGRAM PAGE 16.)

A 5 63 - 12

100.000

20.00

40.0

1,500

0.50

T-LAMO

\$1.10

dir?

The top of the salt water dikes in most districts ise 8.0' to 9.0' M.S.L., while the land protected by them is frequently only 0.5' M.S.L. The average land elevation directly behind the dikes, however, is about 2.0' M.S.L. The elevation of ordinary high tide is 7.0' M.S.L. The average distance inland from the salt water dikes to the 7' contour on the Skagit River Delta is 2% miles, while the Samish River Delta it is 2% miles.

21

P 001960

3

It is estimated that 60% of all these dikes along salt water would fail in a flood with as great a volume as that of the 1815 flood. It should be remembered that these dikes average but 3' on top, are 6' to 8' high, and have slopes of about  $1\frac{1}{22}$ ' to 1'.

After the failure of the dikes, salt water would have an unrestricted movement with the ebb and flow of the tide over these areas back as far inland to elevation 7.0', which would be an ordinary high tide. The damage to these lands by tidal erosion, especially over newly plowed fields, would be considerable. It is not at all unlike ly that many months would elapse before the dikes would be reconstructed. In the meantime, damage to the land by the tides would continue until the dikes were rebui The highest damage occurring at the lowest elevations. It is estimated that the erosion damages for lands thus affected would average \$2 to \$20 per acre for the section, depending upon the location. This does not include damage and loss of crops, etc., due to salt water infiltration of the soil. These are shown with the crop damages and an explanation of them appears on page 18. Skagit River Appraisal

4.6

1. Navigation. Opening Skagit River for

12/12/40

1921 H.W.

23

1815 H.W.

Damages

### RECAPITULATION OF DAMAGES SKAGIT AND SAMISH RIVERS FROM SEDRO WOOLLEY WEST (Includes Nockachamps Creek and Clear Lake Areas) 1921 H.W. and 1815 H.W. (Max.)

% of

1815 H.W. Damages

	Navigation from mouth of river to Mt. Vernon.			
	(a). Dredging sand & silt deposited in channel by flood	40%	\$ 7,500	\$ 18,750
	(b). Removing snags, logs & debris from channel	60%	12,600	21,000
2.	Damage to Dikes & Levees	5%	26,787	535,746
3.	" " Drainage Systems & Tide Gates (a) Drainage Systems (b) Tide or Flood Gates	5% 6%	3,955 1,050	79,090 17,500
4.	Damage to Bridges (a) Highway Bridges (b) Railroad "	5% 5%	16,378 7,209	327, 558 144, 182
5.	Damage to Highways & Roads	8%	6,565	82,062
6.	Damage to Railroads	8%	5,557	69,457
7.	Traffic Interruptions (a) Highways (b) Stage Lines (c) Auto Freight Lines (d) Reilroads	4%%	16,869 198 264 4,153	421,720 4,949 6,600 103,830
8.	Damage to Wire Lines	3%	2,189	72,959
9.	Weed Damage to 64,299 acres 1815 H.W.	40%	5,144	12,860
10.	Damage to Livestock	1%	9,908	970,182
11.	Summary of Damages by Townships (see next page)			1 - 11+5



			19	921 H.W.	1815 H.W.	
			% of 1815 H.W.	Damages	Damages	
11.	Sum	nary of Damages by Townships				
1224	(a)	T33N-R2E	0%	0	\$ 4,919	
	(ъ)	T33N-R3E	24.4%	95,823	392,717	
	(c)	T33N-B4E (Exclusive of Conway)	29.8%	41,448	139,086	
		Town of Conway - Sec. 18 & 19	95.0%	39,592	41,664	
	(a)	T34N-R2E (Exclusive of La Conne	r) 35.0%	21,368	61,051	
		Town of La Conner - Sec. 36	0%	0	47,033	
	(e)	T34N-R3E (Exclusive of Avon)	27.2%	194,008	713,263	
		Town of Avon - Sec. 2,11 & 12	9.0%	2,678	27,453	
	(f)	T34N-E4E (Exclusive of Mt Verno Clear Lake, & Part of Burlingto	n, 26.0%	121,649	<b>467,882</b> 465,5 <sup>2</sup>	
		Town Clear Lake - Sec. 1 # 12	12.4%	4.040	165.787 168.14	
		Town of Burlington -Sec.5 & 6	24.5%	18,481	75,230	
		Town of Mount Vernon - Sec. 1	7, 5.0%	95, 530	1,920,290	
		18, 19, 20, 29, 30, 31, & 32			*	
	(g)	T34N-R5E- Nookachamps Creek	10.0%	7,666	76,665	
	(h)	T35N-B2E	0%	0	8,343	
	(1)	T35N-R3E	21.9%	97, 297	444,278	
	(j)	T35N-R4E (Exclusive of Sedro Woolley & Burlington)	15.0 %	75,877	505,848 4 44,0 °	
		Town of Sedro Woolley-Sec. 23, 24 & 25	0%	0	574,763 616,60	
		Town of Burlington - Sec. 31 &	3216.8%	84,433	501,464	
	(k)	T35N-R5E - Sedro Woolley	0%	0	86,330	
	(1)	T36N-R3E (Exclusive of Blanchs and Edison)	ard 2.6%	2, 330	89,608	
		Town of Blanchard - Sec. 22	0%	0	5,699	
		Town of Edison - Sec. 33	16.8%	5,521	32,985	
	GRAN	D TOTAL	11.2-%	\$1,034,067	\$9,270,803	

Skagit River Appraisal

12/12/40

### RECAPITULATION OF DAMAGES SKAGIT AND SAMISH RIVERS FROM SEDRO WOOLLEY WEST (Exclusive of Nockachamps Creek and Clear Lake Areas) 1921 H.W. and 1815 H.W. (Max.)

		1921 H.W.		1815 H.W.	
		70 of 1815 H.W.	Damages	Damages	
1.	Navigation: Opening Skagit River for navigation from mouth of river to Mt.				
	(a) Dredging sand & silt deposited in channel by flood	40%	\$ 7,500	\$ 18,750 -	
	(b) hemoving snags, logs & debris from channel	60%	12,600	21,000 -	
2.	Damage to Dikes & Levees	5%	26,787	535,746-	
5.	Damage to Drainage Systems & Tide Gates	5	, 17.5		
	(a) Drainage Systems	5%	3,955	79.090 -	
	(b) Tide or Flood Gates	6%	1,050	17,500 -	
4. "	Damage to Bridges				
	(a) Highway Bridges	5%	16,109	322,188 -	
	(b) Railroad Bridgez	5%	6,650	132,995 -	
5. /	Damage to Highways & Roads	8%	6,244	78,062 -	
5	Damage to Railroads	8%	4,917	61,457	
7.	Traffic Interruptions				
	(a) Highways	4%	16,589	414,720	
	(b) Stage Lines	4%	178	4,449	
	(c) Auto Freight Lines	4%	248	6,200	
	(d) Railroads	4%	4,153	103,830	
8.	Damage to Wire Lines	3%	2,141	71,359-	
9. "	Weed Damage 1815 H.W. to 61,730 acres of Tillable Land	40%	4,938	12, 346 ~	
0	Damage to Livestock	1%	9,408	960,182	
1.	Summary of Damages by Townships (See next page)		123467	2,839,874	
			11.2.2	5959,285	



25

				26	
		1921 H.W.		1815 H.W.	
		% of 1815 H.W.	Damages	Damages	
11. 5	ummary of Damages by Townships		The states	/	
	a) T33N-R2E	0%	0	\$ 4,919	
(	b) TSSN-R3E	24.4%	95,823	392,717	
(	c) T33N-R4E (Exclusive of Conway)	29.8%	41,448	139.086	
	Town of Conway - Sec. 18 & 19	95.0%	39,592	41,664	
(	d) T34N-B2E (Exclusive of La Conner)	35.0%	21.368	61.051	
3	Town of La Conner - Sec. 36	0%	0	47,033	
- î	e) T34N-BSE (Exclusive of Avon)	27.2%	194.008	713 263 711.00=	
	Town of Avon - Sec. 2, 11 & 12,138	114 9.0%	2,678	27,453-29,711	
(	f) T34N-R4E (Exclusive of Mount Verno Clear Lake & Part of Burlington,	n,26.0%	80,831	310,889 32-7,15	
	also all of Sec. 1,2,3,10,11,12,13	,			
	Town of Burlington - Sec. 5 & 6	24.5%	18,461	75,230	
	" " Mount Vernôn - Sec. 17, 18.19.20.29.30.31 & 32	5.0%	95,530	1,920,290 *	
	g) T34N-R5E - not included -				
	h) T35N-R2E	0%	0	8,343	
	1) T35N-R3E	21.9%	97.297	444. 278 -	
(	j) T35N-R4E (Exclusive of Sedro Wool- ley & Burlington) also all of Sec.	15.0%	69,601	464,005 422,16	
	Town of Sedro Woolley-Sec. 23,24,	0%	0	574,763 616,60	
	Town of Burlington - Sec. 31 & 32	16.8%	84,433	501,464	
1	k) T35N-R5E - Sedro Woolley	0%	0	<del>86,330.</del> 7202	
1	1) T36N-R3E (Exclusive of Blanchardr.	2.6%	2,330	89,608	
	& Edison)	1		/	
	Town of Blanchard - Sec. 22	0%	0	5,699 /	
	Town of Edison - Sec. 33	16.8%	5,521	32,985	
GRANI	D TOTAL	11.1-%	\$972,408	\$8,780,944 8,799	

.

Towne 3,342,711 Fame 2,526,166

Skagit River Appraisal

12/14/40

DIKING DISTRICTS ANNUAL ASSESSMENT DATA FOR YEARS 1921 AND 1922 USED AS A GUIDE IN DETERMINING 1921 H.W. PER CENT OF 1815 H.W. (See page )

Dist. No. 1 2 9 13 15 18 21 22 hip A	Acres in District 8,668 2,632 1,419 1,870 886 576 391 8,970 verage 1921	Acres of District in Twp. 1,472 2,497 350 1,869 885 576 391 399 8,762	Assessment Increase Per Acre 1921-1922 .85 .95 .50 1.76 .46 .39	Per Cent of Increase In Assess. 50.6 100.0 710.0 429.2 11.5 12.9	1921 Per Cent of 1815 H.W. 20 25 50 40 15 15	Acres in Increased 1921 Per 1815 H.W. 1,766 3,121 525 2,617 1,018 662	Col. 4 by Cent of
No. 1 2 9 13 15 18 21 22 hip A	in District 8,668 2,632 1,419 1,870 886 576 391 8,970 verage 1921	District in Twp. 1,472 2,497 350 1,869 885 576 391 399 8,762	Increase Per Acre 1921-1922 .85 .95 .50 1.76 .46 .39	50.6 100.0 710.0 429.2 11.5 12.9	Per Cent of 1815 H.W. 20 25 50 40 15 15	Increased 1921 Per 1815 H.W. 1,766 3,121 525 2,617 1,018 662	by Cent of
1 2 9 13 15 18 21 22 hip A	District 8,668 2,632 1,419 1,870 886 576 391 8,970 verage 1921	in Twp. 1,472 2,497 350 1,869 885 576 391 399 8,762	Per Acre 1921-1922 .85 .95 .50 1.76 .46 .39	50.6 100.0 710.0 429.2 11.5 12.9	of 1815 H.W. 20 25 50 40 15 15	1921 Per 1815 H.W. 1,766 3,121 525 2,617 1,018 662	Cent of
1 2 9 13 15 18 21 22 hip A	8,668 2,632 1,419 1,870 886 576 391 8,970 verage 1921	Twp. 1,472 2,497 350 1,869 885 576 391 399 8,762	.85 .95 .50 1.76 .46 .39	50.6 100.0 710.0 429.2 11.5 12.9	1815 H.W. 20 25 50 40 15 15	1815 H.W. 1,766 3,121 525 2,617 1,018 662	
1 2 9 13 15 18 21 22 hip A	8,668 2,632 1,419 1,870 886 576 391 8,970 verage 1921	1,472 2,497 350 1,869 885 576 391 399 8,762	.85 .95 .50 1.76 .46 .39	50.6 100.0 710.0 429.2 11.5 12.9	20 25 50 40 15 15	1,766 3,121 525 2,617 1,018 662	
1 2 9 13 15 18 21 22 hip A	2,632 1,419 1,870 886 576 391 8,970 verage 1921	1,472 2,497 350 1,869 885 576 391 399 8,762	.85 .95 .50 1.76 .46 .39	100.0 710.0 429.2 11.5 12.9	20 25 50 40 15 15	1,766 3,121 525 2,617 1,018 662	
2 9 13 15 18 21 22 hip A	2,632 1,419 1,870 886 576 391 8,970 verage 1921	2,497 350 1,869 885 576 391 399 8,762	.95 .50 1.76 .46 .39	100.0 710.0 429.2 11.5 12.9	25 50 40 15 15	5,121 525 2,617 1,018 662	
9 13 15 18 21 22 hip A	1,419 1,870 886 576 391 8,970 verage 1921	350 1,869 885 576 391 399 8,762	.50 1.76 .46 .39	710.0 429.2 11.5 12.9	50 40 15 15	525 2,617 1,018 662	
13 15 18 21 22 hip A	1,870 886 576 391 8,970 verage 1921	1,869 885 576 391 399 8,762	1.76 .46 .39	429.2 11.5 12.9	40 15 15	2,617 1,018 662	
15 18 21 22 hip A	886 576 391 8,970 verage 1921	885 576 391 399 8,762	•46 •39	11.5 12.9	15 15	1,018	
18 21 22 hip A	576 391 8,970 verage 1921	576 391 <u>399</u> 8,762	.39	12.9	15	662	
21 22 hip A	391 8,970 verage 1921	391 399 8,762					
22 hip A	8,970 verage 1921	399 8,762			0.	391	
hip A	verage 1921	8,762			0	799	
hip A	verage 1921					10,899	
		Per Cent	of 1815 H.W	. = (10,899-8	8,762) ÷ 87	62 = 3	24.49
	2,632	151	.95	100.0	25	189	
3	6.366	4.286	2.91	184.2	30	5. 572	
•	0,000	4 437		10110	00	5.761	
hin A	Versee 1921	Fer Cent	of 1815 H.W	2 = (5.761 -	4 4371 = 4	437 =	29.89
12	13 399	861	.62	295.2	35	, 101 -	20.01
13 4-	10,002	Pom Cont	1915 H W		00		350
TD WA	erage, 1921	reroyens (	H TOTACH'M.		50		20/0
1	8,268	6,503	.85	50.6	20	7,804	-
2	2.632	21	.95	100.0	25	26	
3	6.366	154	2.91	184.2	30	200	-
8	631	556	201-		0	556	
9	1 419	1 069	-50	710.0	50	1 603	
12	13 392	5 208	.50	295 2	35	7 031	
17	1 963	337	30	7 6	15	399	
11	1,200	13 848		7.0	15	17 608	
hip A	verage 1921	Per Cent	of 1815 H.W	. = (17,608 -	13,848) +	13,848 =	27.29
	0.000	000	0.E	50 C	20	747	
1	0,200	209	.00	50.6	20	0 500	
5	6,000	1,925	2.91	1 104.2	50	2, 502	
12	13,392	2,041	.62	295.2	35	2,755	
17	1,263	926	.30	7.6	15	1,065	
20	537	537			0	537	
		5,718 Den Cont		- 17 206 -	5 7191 . 5	7,206	· '26 00
uib w	verage 1921	rer cent	OI 1815 H.W	. = (7,208 -	5,7107 + 5	,110 - 20,	20.0/
5	2,847	397			0		
5	2,847	2,450			0	2,450	
8	631	76			0	76	
12	13,392	3,810	.62	295.2	35	5,146	
19	1,960	1,780	.18	22.5	25	2,225	
hin A	Verse 1991	B,116 Per Cent	of 1815 1.10	- = (9 897 -	8.1161 . 8	9,897	21.99
10	IT TOO	Let vert			75	,	75 00
12	13, 392	1,458	.62	290.2	99	1 577	35.07
10	1,0//	1,077	10	00 5	25	2,011	
Ta	1,960	181	•18	22.5	20	200	
	hip A hip A hip A hip A 1 2 3 8 9 12 17 20 hip A 5 8 12 17 20 hip A 5 8 12 17 20 hip A 12 12 13 12 12 13 12 12 12 13 12 17 20 hip A 5 8 12 12 13 12 12 13 12 12 13 13 12 13 13 12 13 13 12 13 13 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	hip Average 1921 12 13,392 14 Average, 1921 1 8,268 2 2,632 3 6,366 8 631 9 1,419 12 13,392 17 1,263 hip Average 1921 1 8,268 3 6,366 12 13,392 17 1,263 20 537 hip Average 1921 5 2,847 5 2,847 5 2,847 5 2,847 5 2,847 8 631 12 13,392 19 1,960 hip Average 1921 12 13,392 19 1,960 ship Average 192	5       5,000 $\frac{1}{4},437$ hip Average 1921 Per Cent       12       13,392       861         ip Average, 1921 Per 6 Çent       0       0         1       8,268       6,503       2       2         2       2,632       21       3       6,366       154         8       631       556       9       1,419       1,069         12       13,392       5,208       17       1,263       337         13,848       19       1,069       12       13,392       5,208         17       1,263       337       13,848         hip Average 1921 Per Cent       1       8,268       289         3       6,366       1,925       12       13,392       2,041         17       1,263       926       20       537       5,718         hip Average 1921 Per Cent       5       2,847       397       5,718         5       2,847       2,450       8       631       76         12       13,392       3,810       19       1,960       1,780         19       1,960       1,780       8,116       1,758         ship Average 1921 Per Cent	3       0,000 $\frac{4,200}{4,437}$ 2.51         10       Average 1921       Fer Cent of 1815 H.W.         12       13,392       861       .62         10       Average, 1921       Per 6 Gent of 1815 H.W.         1       8,268       6,503       .85         2       2,632       21       .95         3       6,366       154       2.91         8       631       556       9       1,419       1,069       .50         12       13,392       5,208       .62       17       1,263       337       .30         12       13,392       5,208       .62       17       1,263       337       .30         12       13,392       2,041       .62       17       1,263       926       .30         12       13,392       2,041       .62       17       1,263       926       .30         20       537       5.718       16       1815 H.W         5       2,847       397       5       2,847       397         5       2,847       2,450       8       631       76       18         19       1,960       1,780	5 5,000 $\frac{1}{4,437}$ hip Average 1921 Fer Cent of 1815 H.W. = (5,761 - 12 13,392 861 .62 295.2 15 Average, 1921 Per 6 Gent of 1815 H.W. = 5 1 8,268 6,503 .85 50.6 2 2,632 21 .95 100.0 3 6,366 154 2.91 184.2 8 631 556 9 1,419 1,069 .50 710.0 12 13,392 5,208 .62 295.2 17 1,263 <u>337</u> .30 7.6 1 8,268 289 .85 50.6 3 6,366 1,925 2.91 184.2 12 13,392 2,041 .62 295.2 17 1,263 926 .30 7.6 20 537 <u>537</u> 5 2,847 2,450 8 631 76 12 13,392 3,810 .62 295.2 17 1,263 927 5 5 2,847 2,450 8 631 76 12 13,392 3,810 .62 295.2 19 1,960 <u>1,780</u> .18 E2.5 hip Average 1921 Per Cent of 1815 H.W. = (7,206 - 5 2,847 397 5 2,847 2,450 8 631 76 12 13,392 3,810 .62 295.2 19 1,960 <u>1,780</u> .18 E2.5 hip Average 1921 Per Cent of 1815 H.W. = (9,897 - 12 13,392 1,458 .62 295.2 19 1,960 <u>1,780</u> .18 E2.5 hip Average 1921 Per Cent of 1815 H.W. = (9,897 - 12 13,392 1,458 .62 295.2 4 1,577 1,577 19 1,960 181 .18 22.5 hip Average 1921 Per Cent of 1815 H.W. = (1,803 -	b 5,000 $\frac{4,400}{4,437}$ 2.51 1.000 2.5 hip Average 1921 Fer Cent of 1815 H.W. = (5,761 - 4,437) + 4 12 13,392 861 .62 295.2 35 15 Average, 1921 Per 6 Gent of 1815 H.W. = 1 20 1 8,268 6,503 .85 50.6 20 2 2,632 21 .95 100.0 25 3 6,366 154 2.91 184.2 30 8 651 556 0 9 1,419 1,069 .50 710.0 50 12 13,392 5,208 .62 295.2 35 17 1,263 337 .30 7.6 15 13,848 hip Average 1921 Per Cent of 1815 H.W. = (17,608 - 13,848) + 1 8,268 289 .85 50.6 20 3 6,366 1,925 2.91 1 184.2 30 12 13,392 2,041 .62 295.2 35 17 1,263 926 .30 7.6 15 20 537 557 0 5 2,847 2,450 0 8 631 76 0 5 2,847 2,450 0 8 631 76 0 12 13,392 3,810 .62 295.2 35 19 1,960 1,760 .18 22.5 25 19 1,960 1,760 .18 22.5 25 19 1,960 1,760 .18 22.5 25 19 1,960 1,767 1815 H.W. = (9,897 - 8,116) + 8 12 13,392 1,458 .62 295.2 35 19 1,960 181 .18 22.5 25 4 1,577 1,577 19 1,960 181 H.W. = (1,803 - 1,758) +	5 5,000 $\frac{-4,250}{4,437}$ 2.11 1047 50 $\frac{-5,761}{5,761}$ hip Average 1921 Fer Cent of 1815 H.W. = (5,761 - 4,437) + 4,437 = 12 13,392 861 .62 295.2 35 19 Average, 1921 Per 60 fint of 1815 H.W. = 10. 20 1 8,268 6,503 .85 50.6 20 7,804 2 2,632 21 .95 100.0 25 26 3 6,366 154 2.91 184.2 30 200 8 631 556 0 556 9 1,419 1,069 .50 710.0 50 1,603 12 13,392 5,208 .62 295.2 35 7,031 13,848 hip Average 1921 Per Cent of 1815 H.W. = (17,608 - 13,848) + 13,848 = 1 8,268 289 .85 50.6 20 347 3 6,366 1,925 2.91 1 184.2 30 2,502 12 13,392 2,041 .62 295.2 35 2,755 17 1,263 926 .30 7.6 15 .3848 hip Average 1921 Per Cent of 1815 H.W. = (7,206 - 5,718) + 5,718 = 20. 5 2,847 2,450 0 .2,450 8 631 76 0 76 12 13,392 3,810 .62 295.2 35 5,146 19 1,960 1,780 .18 22.5 25 5,146 19 1,960 1,780 .18 22.5 25 5,146 19 1,960 1,780 .18 22.5 25 1,616) + 8,116 = 12 13,392 1,458 .62 295.2 35 4 1,577 1,577 19 1,960 181 H.W. = (9,897 - 8,116) + 8,116 = 12 13,392 1,458 .62 295.2 35 4 1,577 1,577 19 1,960 181 .18 22.5 25 1,577 19 1,960 181 .18 22.5 25 1,603 18 22.5 25 2,225 19 1,960 181 .18 22.5 25 1,603 10 1815 H.W. = (1,803 - 1,758) + 1,758 =

27
Skagit River Appraisal

÷

÷.,

# DALMGE TO HIGHWAY BRIDGES 1815 H.W.

Location	Elev. Top of Deck	Apprais. Elev.	Year Built	Bridge Cost	) Dep	Deprec. Value	Probabil- ity of Loss.	Damage	LIF
TS3N-R3E				100			10000 /0		
Deer Slough	Ъ11.0	10.0	21	\$1,800	30	\$1,260	60	1.008	3
	Б11.0	10.0	2-	1,600	25	1,200	80	960	3
	a10.0	10.0	1935	c3,405	17	2,826	90	2,543	4
N. Fork Skagit River	29.7	14.6	1911	d48.000	72	13,440	10	1.344	-
Browns Slough	all.5	10.0	28	d6.000	40	3.600	60	2.860	1
п п	a12.5	10.0	1939	c2.864	3	2.778	75	2.083	
	b11.0	10.0	31	1.200	30	840	90	756	
Dry Slough	b13.0	11.0	1951	c6.960	30	4.872	75	3.654	
11 11	b13.0	11.0	1940	c5.350	0	5.350	75	4,013	
" "	b13.0	11.0	28	1,000	40	600	BO	460	-
S Park Short Hunn	.23.5	14.0	(1914	440 000				100	
TRAJ-RAG	460.0	14.0	1938	d11.674	35	33,588	20	6.718	1 3
Indian S'man	b10-0	10.0	21	1.200	50	840	100	840	
Sulliver Slough	010.5	11.0	20	4 160	40	2 496	100	2 496	0.11
Higging " nn Fredoria	h12 0	18.0	27	3 500	10	3 150	100	3 150	
niggins nr Freddhia	012.0	10.0	-	0,000	10	0,100	100	0,100	
T34N-R4E									
Skagit River-Mt Vernon	41.6	50.7	(1909	d45,000					
			(1928	d28,736		34,655	40	13,862	5
" " Hiway U.S.#99	45.7	37.5	1939	504,000	0	504,000	40	201,600	7
500' N. of Skagit River									
Hiway U.S. #99	32.9	37.0	1939	4,000	0	4,000	100	4,000	5
Slough on Co. Rd. Sec.8	31.0	38.5	-2	2,000	60	800	100	800	3
" Hiway 99 S. of Burlin	6-								
ton	31.0	38.5	1939	27,000	0	27,000	100	27,000	5
Nookachamps Creek	b40.0	46.0	32	3,200	25	2,400	100	2,400	3
	b42.0	47.0	32	3,000	25	2,250	100	2,250	3
Slough W. of Clear Lake	b30.0	51.0	28	1,200	40	720	100	720	- ţ
T35N-R3E									
Joe Leary Slough Sec. 18	b7.4	10.0	31	1.200	30	840	100	840	-
" " " 17	b7.5	10.5	78	1,200	40	720	100	720	2
	h7.5	10.5		1 000	30	700	100	700	
" " " 16	b8.0	13.0	24	600	20	640	100	540	1
	h12.5	15.0	29	900	25	675	100	675	
Semish River Sec. 5	b10.5	9.0	21	6 000	30	4 200	90	3 700	1
" " " g	b10.0	12.0	24	2 100	20	1,200	90	1 510	-
" " 15	b16 0	18.0	21	1, 500	30	1,000	100	1,512	3
" " " 14	b27 0	25.0	37-	1,000	05	1,050	100	1,050	
1*	021.0	20.0	50	4,000	20	5,000	80	2,400	4
T35N-R4E									
Skagit River Sedro Wooll	ey61.4	55.7	1911	d69,000	72	19,320	100	19,320	30.
Slough S. of " " T36N-R3E	48.8	55.8	32	10,000	25	7,500	100	7,500	3
Edison Slough	b6.5	9.0	31	2.000	30	1.400	100	1.400	3
" "	b7.0	9.0	25	1.000	40	600	100	600	- 5
п п	b13.0	11.5	34	1,200	20	960	90	864	3
TOTAL DAMAGES						10 min.31			_



See note on prefixes next page

P 001968

-327, 558

44

Note: a. See Bridge Elevations below b. " " " " " c. " " Costs " d. " " " "

BRIDGE ELEVATIONS: All elevations are Mean Sea Level. All elevations without prefix letter have been determined by means of wye level and are on U.S.C& G.S. datum.

a. Elevation marked with prefix letter "all has been determined from high tide by measurement.

b. Elevation marked with prefix letter "b" has been estimated from meager existing data.

<u>APPRAISAL ELEVATIONS</u>: The appraisal elevations of all main bridges over Skagit River below Burlington are one foot below the elevation of the top of the dikes at the bridge. In past floods the dikes usually failed when water reached to within one foot of the top of them. All other appraisal elevations of bridges have been determined from the grid profiles. See pages to . Also see map sheets 1 to 8. File no. E-6-6-1.

#### BRIDGE COSTS:

c. Bridge costs marked with prefix letter "c" have been supplied by Lee Wright, Asst. Co. Engr.

d. Bridge costs marked with prefix letter "d" are estimates supplied by T.D. McNeil, who was county engineer during the construction of these bridges. Official records could not be found by Mr Wright, present Asst. County Engineer.

All other bridge costs are rough estimates, except the state highway bridge over Skagit River, located between Mount Vernon and Burlington, whose costs were supplied by the State Highway Dept.

DEPRECIATION: The depreciation of county timber bridges is based on an expected life of thirty years. On county steel bridges the expected life is assumed to be forty years. The annual depreciation, therefore, is 3.3% and 2.5%, respectively. 75% is the maximum depreciation used, as long as the bridge is maintained and kept in use, regardless of age.



-15

46

#### DAMAGE TO RAILROAD BRIDGES

#### 1815 H.W.

#### Great Northern R.R: Skagit River Crossing between Mount Vernon and Burlington. Steel span draw bridge. Concrete piers.

Top of tie, :	span deck	48.3'
Clearance el	evation	43.2'
Appraisal ele	evation	39.0'

Bridge built 1891. No cost figures were available. The estimated cost in 1691 was 381,400. The relative construction value if built in 1940 would have been 3220,000, since the difference in construction cost and material between the years 1891 and 1940 is 63%. On the basis of present replacement value of \$220,000, the depreciated value would be \$55,000 or 75% of \$220,000. (The depreciation is computed at 1.67% per annum on an expected life of 60 years up to and including a maximum of 75% depreciation, as long as the bridge is maintained and kept in use.)

Probability of loss of the bridge due to flood is estimated at 50%. 50% of \$55,000 = \$27,500 = damage.

Great Northern R.R. Double track timber-piling treatle over slough between Burlington and Skagit River Crossing. Approximate length 400'. Cost at \$42 per lin. ft. \$16,800. Depreciation 40%. Depreciated value = \$10,080. Top of tie, bridge deck 34.8'

Appraisal elevation 40.5'

Probability of loss is estimated at 100% or \$10,080 = damage.

Northern Pacific R.R: Skagit River Crossing south of Sedro Woolley, Steel span draw bridge. Concrete piers. Top of tie, span deck 63.0' Clearance elevation 58.0' Appraisal elevation 54.6'

Bridge originally built in 1908. Additions built 1915. No cost figures were available. The estimated cost in 1915 is \$110,000. The relative construction value if built in 1940 would have been \$200,000, since the difference in construction cost and material between the years 1915 and 1940 is 45%. On the basis of present replacement value of \$200,000, the depreciated value would be 42% of \$200,000 or \$84,000. (The depreciation has been estimated at 1.67% per annum on an expected life of 60 yrs.)

Probability of loss of the bridge, due to flood, is estimated at 100% or \$84,000= dam

Northern Pacific R.R. bridge over slough approx. 1000' north of Skagit River Crossing 1-49' I-beam, through girder span with timber, piling bent approaches. Total length of approaches approx. 300'. Cost estimated at \$21 per lin. ft. for approaches = \$6,300. Cost of steel span estimated at \$8,000. Total cost \$14,300. Depreciation 40% = \$8,580.

Cop	of	tie	of	bridge	56.8
Appr	ai s	sal e	ele	vati on	54.6'

Probability of loss estimated at 100% or \$8,580 = damage.

P 001970

Northern Pacific R.R. Timber, piling bent, trestle over slough located 4000' north of Skagit River Crossing: Approx. length 225'. Cost at \$21 per lin. ft. = #4,725. Depreciation 40% = #2,835. Top of tie 52.7'

#### Appraisal elevation 54.6'

Probability of loss is estimated at 100% or \$2,835 = damage.

Northern Pacific R.R. Timber, piling bent trestle over slough located 1000' south of Skagit River Crossing: Approx. length 250'. Cost at \$21 per lin. ft. = \$5,250. Depreciation 40% = \$3,150. Top of tie approx. 57.0"

Appraisal elevation 54.6'

Probability of loss is estimated at 90%. 90% of \$3,150 = \$2,835 = damage.

Puget Sound & Cascade Railway trestle over West Fork Nookachamps Creek: Untreated trestle. 1900 lin. ft. piling bents at an average cost of \$15.70 per lin. ft. Depreciation 60%. Probability of loss 70%.

1900' x 15.70 x .40 x .70 = 48,352 = damage

SUMMARY OF DAMAGES. RAILROAD BRIDGES

G.N.R.R.	Skagit	River	Bridge	327,500
n	Trestle	over	Slough	10,080
N.P.R.R.	Skagit	River	Bridge	84,000
н.	Trestle	over	Slough	8,580
n	"		17	2,835
	"	"	н	2,835
P.S. & C.R.R.	u	n	Nookachamps	8,352
Total Damages				\$144,182



48

#### DAMAGE TO HIGH AYS AND ROADS 1815 H.W.

There is a total of 81.8 miles of paved roads and 137.2 miles of gravel roads.in the appraised area, exclusive of streets within the towns. The paved roads include concrete, macadam, oiled surface and asphalt. These are state highways as well as county roads. The gravel roads are improved gradee and drained county roads. The average damage per mile of concrete roads is estimated at 4500 per mi., while the damage on gravel roads is estimated at 4500 per mi.

Following is a tabulation of roads by townships: (It does not include town or city streets)

Township	Range	Liles Páve <b>d</b> Roads	Liles Gravel Roads	Damages in Township	
3 3	2	.0	.0	ş 0	
33	3	5.8	20.5	<b>\$9,050</b>	
33	4	10.0	21.0	11,300	
34	2	.0	1.0	300	
34	3	29.0	26.7	22, 510	
34	4	7.5	14.5	8,100	
34	5	.0	3.0	900	
35	3	7.0	29.0	12,200	
35	4	18.5	19.5	15,100	
36	3	4.0	2.0	2,600	
TOTAL		81.8	137.2	382,060	

Skagit River Appreisal

12/2/40

#### DAMAGE TO CAILROADS CTAER THAN BRIDGES 1815 H.W.

There are four steam railroads serving the appraised area. They are the North Pacific Railway, the Great Northern Railway (Seattle-Vancouver wain Line & Anacortes Branch), the Fuget Sound & Baker River R.R. and the Fuget Sound & Cascade R.A. The last two are logging railroads. The suget Sound & Baker River R.A. operates over the Anacortes Branch of the Great Northern R.R. thru the argraised area.

Northern Pacific R.R: Extends from Seattle to Sumas with a branch line to Bellingnam. The M.F.R.R. crosses the Skagit River about 1 mi. south of Sedro Woolley. The distance across the appraised area in the Skagit Valley and Hookachamps Valler is approximately 72 mis. Since the Skagit Valley is constricted in the vicinity of Sedro Woolley, the camages to the N.P.R.R. can be expected to be heavy in that portion which crosses the Skagit Valley. For a distance of 12 mis. the railroad is built on a fill averaging 12' high.

Valuation and damages of the railroad are as follows:

7600 lin. ft. fill. Average 12' high. Roadbed 16' wide. Cost of fill 50¢ per cu. yd. Laterial, ballast & 14bor of laying steel at 2.24 per lin. ft. Probable loss 50%.

 $\frac{34 \times 12}{27 \times 2} + 2.24 = 9.79 \text{ cost per lin. ft.}$ 7800' x 9.79 x .30 = 922.898 = damage

Damage to 6 mis of railroad, mostly in Nookachamps Valley, will

average 1200 per mi. 1200 x 6 = 37,200 = damage



Total dam ge 1.P.R.R. = .30,098

Great Northern R.R. Seattle-Vancouver Main Line: This railroad crosses the Skugit River flood area via the towns of Burlington and Mount Vernon. The distance across is  $19\frac{1}{2}$  mis. For a distance of  $1\frac{1}{4}$  mis. north of the Skagit River Bridge the railroad grade is on a fill averaging 10' high.

Valuation and estimated damages of the railroad are as follows:

6600 lin. ft. fill:Average height 10'. Roadbed 16' wide. Cost of fill 50¢ per cu. yd. Material, ballast &labor of laying steel at \$2.24 per lin. ft. Probable loss 20%.

 $\frac{31 \times 10}{27 \times 2} + 2.24 = 7.98 \text{ cost per lin. ft.}$  $\frac{31 \times 10}{27 \times 2} + 2.24 = 10,534 = 4 \text{ damage}$ 

The estimated damage for the balance of the distance of  $18\frac{1}{2}$  mis. will average 900 per mi.  $900 \ge 18.25 = 916,425 = damage$ 

Total damage = \$26,959



19

Great Northern R.R. Anacortes Branch: This brance line extends thru the appraised area from Secro Woolley west to Swinomish Slough, a distance of 15 mis. The average damage per mile is estimated at \$200.

800 x 13 = 10,400 = damage

<u>ruget Sound & Cascade R.R</u>: Is a logging railroad and is not in operation at the present time. It extends thru the flood area on Nookachamps Creek, a distance of 4 mis. The average damage per mile is estimated at \$500 per mi.

\$500 x 4 = \$2,000 = damage

#### SUMMARY OF DAMAGES TO RAILROADS

Northe	ern Pacific R.R.	\$30,098	7 1/2
Great	Northern R.R. Seattle-Vancouver Main Line	26,959	1-1/2
Great	Northern Anacortes Branch	10,400	13
Puget	Sound & Cascade R.R.	2,000	
			44
Total	Damages	\$69,457	





#### TRAFFIC INTERRUPTIONS. HIGHWAYS. 1815 H.W.

Highway traffic has been divided into two classes, thru traffic and local traffic. Thru traffic takes into consideration all thru traffic between Bellingham and Everett, and also between Everett and Anacortes. All other traffic thrucut the appraised area is shown under the heading of "Local Traffic."

The average cost of operating automobiles over detours, including driver's time at 50¢ per hr., is 12¢ per mile.

See page 44 for damage to bridges and the percent of "Probability of Loss" of bridges.

All roads within the flooded area would be impassable for at least 30 days after a flood of maximum proportions.

River ferries could be put into operation at any and all Skagit River bridges in thirty days, should the bridge be lost.

#### THRU TRAFFIC

U.S. Highway #99: This highway would be impassable for at least thirty days, regardless of whether or not the Skagit River bridge would go out. However, should the Skagit River bridge be lost, acriver ferry could be put into operation in thirty days.

The average annual 24 hr. they traffic on U.S. Highway #99 is 1,950 autos. Normal winter traffic is estimated at 70% of the annual average 24 hr. traffic, or 1,365 autos. Abnormal traffic due to storm conditions, inconvenience, and added cost of driving over detours, would cut the traffic to 50% of the normal winter traffic, or 682 autos.

The detour route, in order to avoid the Skagit River flood area, would be from Everett to Bellingham via Mukiltee ferry to Whidby Island, Highway 1-D to Anacortes, and ferry from Anacortes to Chuckanut. The average cost per car to ferry from Mukilteo to Whidby Island, taking into account the proportion of passengler cars to trucks, is 80¢ per auto. And, likewise, the cost to ferry from Anacortes to Chuckamit is estimated at \$2.75 per auto. The total time consumed in ferrying would be 2 hrs., and at 50¢ per hr. for driver's time, the additional expense would be \$1.00 per auto.

The added cost of operating autos over the detour for 30 das. and a 40% probability of ferrying across the Skagit River near U.S. Highway #99, in the event of the loss of the bridge for an additional 240 das., is computed as follows:

(.80 + 2.75 + 1.00)(682 autos)(30 das.) = \$93,090 = damage



The added cost of maintaining a river ferry and time lost in negotiating passage is estimated at 25¢ per auto. Upon the installation of a ferry, traffic would increase to the normal winter traffic of 1,365 autos per 24 hrs.

(\$.25 ferrying cost)(1,365 autos)(240 cas)(40%) = \$52,760 = damage

Total damage = \$125,850

Everett to Anacortes: The average annual 24 hr. traffic over the Mount Vernon-Anacortes highway is 1,400 autos. The normal winter traffic is estimated at 60% of the annual average 24 hr. traffic, or 1,120 autos. Abnormal traffic would cut this to 60% of the normal winter traffic, or 672 autos. Of this mount, it is estimated that 30% of the traffic originates from Everett or south. 30% of 672 autos = 202 autos per 24 hrs.

The detour route from Everett is via Mukilteo-Whidby Island ferry to Anacortes, a detour distance of 64 miles. The direct distance via U.S. Highway #99 and State Highway #1 is 52 mis. The average cost to ferry, including driver's time, from Mukilteo to Whidby Island, is 95¢ per auto.

This detour would be necessary for at least 30 das. After 30 das. there is a 40% probability that traffic would be ferried across the Skagit River near the Mount Vernon bridge, since the probability of loss of the Mount Vernon bridge west of Mount Vernon is 40%. The added cost of operating autos over the detour and ferrying across Skagit River is as follows:

Detour; (12 mis)(12¢ per mi.) + .95(202 autos)(30 das) = \$14,483 = damages

Skagit River Ferry: Normal winter traffic is 672 autos per 24 hrs. (3.25 ferrying cost)(672 autos)(240 das)(40%) = \$16,128 = damage

Total damage = \$30,611

#### LOCAL TRAFFIC

A maximum flood would cause the evacuation of a large per cent of the people living within the flood plane. The average length of time that these people would be forced to remain away from their homes is estimated at 15 das. The cost of sheltering them, computed at 31 per day, has been included in the Section Summaries of the notes under "Refugees". At the end of 15 das., traffic would be gradually restored to normal, but only to the extent permitted by repairs of washed out roads and bridges.

It would require at least 30 das. to repair the approaches to bridges, whether the bridge structure proper would be lost or not. Since homes and farms would be abandoned for an average period of 15 das., interruption of traffic has been computed on a basis of 15 das. This is the approximate length of time it would take to complete repairs on roads and bridge approaches after the return of the refugees to their homes. Traffic interruption damages due to the loss of bridges have been computed as the per cent of probability of loss of the bridge, and are in addition to traffic interruption damages of roads during the previously mentioned period of 15 das.





Local Traffic over Skagit River Bridge U.S. Highway #99: The total annual average 24 hr. traffic over this bridge is 5,900 autos. Thru traffic is 1,950 autor and local traffic is also 1,950. Normal winter traffic is estimated at 70% of the annual average 24 hr. traffic, or 1,365 autos. Abnormal driving donditions would further reduce the traffic 90%, or a maining total of 136 autos.

The detour distance from Mount Vernon via Everett, Mulkilteo-Whidby Island ferry, Anacortes-Chuckanut ferry to Buglington, is 112 miles. The total cost of ferrying, including 2 hrs. driver's time at 50¢ per hr. is \$4.55. The direct distance between Mount Vernon and Burlington is 4 mis. The difference between direct and detour distance is 108 mis.

The calculation of the damages is as follows:

Detour: /(108 mis.)(12 per mi.) + 4.55(136 autos)(15 das) = \$35,720 = damage

Normal winter traffic = 1,365 autos per 24 hrs. (.25 ferrying cost)(1,365 autos)(240 das.)(40%) =  $\Rightarrow$ 32,760 = damage

Total damage = \$68,480

Traffic over Sedro Woolley Bridge: The total annual average 24 hr. traffic over the bridge is 1,000 autos. Normal winter traffic is estimated at 70% of the annual 24 hr. traffic, or 700 autos. Abnormal driving conditions would further reduce the traffic 90% to a remaining total of 70 autos.

The detour distance from Mount Vernon to Sedro Woolley via Everett, Mukilteo-Wi Island ferry, Anacortes-Chuckanut ferry is 116 miles. The direct distance is . The difference between the detour and the direct distance is 106 mis.

The calculations of the damages are as follows:

Detour: (106mis)(12¢ per mi.) + 4.55(70 autos)(15 das) = \$18,133 = damage

Skagit River Ferry: Normal winter traffic is 700 autos per 24 hrs. (.25 ferry cost)(700 autos)(240 das)(40%) = \$16,800 = damage

Total damage = \$34,933

Traffic over Mount Vernon Bridge: The total annual average 24 hr. traffic over this bridge is 2,570 autos. Normal winter traffic is 80% or 2,056 autos. Abnormal driving conditions would further reduce the traffic 75% to a remaining total of 514 autos. Of this amount 202 autos are Everett-Anacortes thru traffic. (See "Thru Traffic. Everett to Anacortes".) The balance or 312 autos would also have to detour via Everett, and Mulkilteo-Whidby Island ferry. The detour distance to Bayview Road from Mount Vernon is 100 mis. The direct distance from Mount Vernon is 7 mis. The difference between detour and direct distance is 93 mis.

The calculations of the damages are as follows:

Detour:  $(93mis)(12c \text{ per mi.}) + .95(312 \text{ autos})(15 \text{ das.}) = $56,670 = damage}$ Skagit River Ferry: Normal winter traffic = 1,439 autos per 24 hrs. (.25 ferrying cost)(1,439 autos)(240 das.)(40%) = \$34,536 = damage

Total damage = \$91,206



Traffic over South Fork Bridge West of Conway: The total annual average 24 hr. traffic over this bridge is 600 autos. Normal winter traffic is 70% or 420 auto-Abnormal driving conditions would further reduce the traffic 60% to a remaining total of 84 autos.

The detour distance from Everett to La Conner via Makilteo-Jhidby Island ferry is 67 mis. The direct distance via Conway is 39 mis. The difference between the detour and direct distance is 28 mis.

The probability of loss of the bridge across Deer Slough and Browns Slough is 90%. The detour would be necessary for 100 additional days if one or both of the bridges were washed out.

Detour: (28 mis.)(12¢ per mi.) + .95(84 autos)(15 das.) = \$5,431 = damage (28 mis)(12¢ per mi.) + .95(84 autos)(100 das.)(90%) = \$32,584

Skagit River Ferry: Normal winter traffic is 420 autos per 24 hrs. Total time detour would be necessary is 115 das. The estimated time required to reconstruct South Fork Bridge is 240 das. The number of das. the Skagit River Ferry would be required in the event of a loss of the South Fork Bridge is 240-115 or 125 das. The cost of ferrying is calculated as follows:

(.25 ferrying cost) (420 autos) (125 das) (20%) = \$2,625 = damage

Total Damage = \$40,640

Miscellaneous Traffic: Includes traffic interruptions over various roads in the delta area not previously considered. Numerous detours would be necessary on these roads. The total damages over a wide area is estimated at \$30,000.

#### SUMMARY OF DAMAGES - INTERRUPTION OF HIGHWAY TRAFFIC

Thru !	Traffic	U.S. Highway #99	\$125,850
		Everett to Anacortes	30,611
Local	Traffic	Skagit River Bridge on U.S. Hwy #99	68,480
**		Sedro Woolley Bridge	34,933
**	**	Mount Vernon Bridge	91,206
11		South Fork Bridge	40,640
Ħ	"	Miscellaneous	30,000
Total	Damages		421,720

P 001978

Skagit River Appraisal

12/12/40

55

#### TRAFFIC INTERRUPTIONS - STAGE AND AUTO FREIGHT LINES 1615 H.W.

The North Coast Stage Lines and five principal auto freight line serve the Skagit River Area.

Morth Coast Lines: Maintain passenger service consisting of 14 daily stages in both directions across the flood area on T.S. Highway #99. In addition, 6 daily stages operate between Mount Vernon, La Conner and Anacortes. Six daily stages also operate between Mount Vernon and Sedro Woolley.

North Coast Lines Thru Passengers: It would be necessary for thru passengers between Seattle and Vancouver, 3.C. to detour via C.P.R.R. steamships operating between Seattle and Vancouver. It is estimated that there are on an average 25 passengers per day in each direction between the above points. The added cost to passengers per day is \$1.50. The estimated time the detour would be necessary is 20 das, and a 50% possibility of 15 additional days in the event of the loss of U.S. Highway #99 Skagit River bridge. The damage is calculated as follows:

 $2(25)(\frac{1.50}{20} \text{ das.} + \frac{15 \text{ das.}}{2}) = \frac{32,062}{2} = \text{ damage}$ 

North Coast Lines Local Passengers: There are no alternate routes or detours possible for local traffic since this traffic is wholly within the flood area. The number of passengers is estimated at 30 per day. The added cost of providing accomodations for these travelers is \$3.50 per day and the damages are calculated as follows:

(30 passengers) (\$3.50 per da.) (20 das. + 15 das.) = \$2,887 = damage

Total Damage = \$4,949

Auto Freight Lines: The loss of profits and loss of wages of employes to the five principal auto freight bines hauling both local and thru freight is estimated at \$240 per day. The damage is calculated as follows:

 $($240)(20 \text{ das.} + \frac{15 \text{ das.}}{2}) = $6,600 = \text{damage}$ 



#### INTERRUPTION OF TRAFFIC - RAILROADS 1815 H.W.

There are four railroads crossing the appraised trea, all of which are steam railroads. They are the Great Northern Railway Seattle-Vancouver Main Line, the Anacortes Branch of the Great Northern, the Puget Sound & Baker Miver Main, which operates over the Anacortes Branch of the Great Morthern, the Northern Pacific R.R. and the Puget Sound & Cascade H.R. The Puget Sound & Cascade R.R. is not at the present time in operation.

Great Northern R.R. Seattle-Vancouver Main Line: Freight service consists of one thru freight train in each direction daily except Saturday. The average number of cars is 70. There is also one local freight between Burlington and Everett. This train is also daily except Saturday, and averages 70 cars.

Passenger service consists of 2 trains daily each direction, and all trains average 7 cars each.

There are two alternate routes that Seattle-Vancouver freight traffic could be routed over in the event of interrupted traffic across the Skagit River flood plane. One route would be via Spokane and Grand Forks, B.C., the other via train ferry from Seattle to Bellingham.

At the present time the Eilwaukee R.R. operates a tri-we-kly train ferry from Seattle to Bellingham. The equipment consists of car barges #7 and #8 and the tug Eilwaukee. The capacity of barge #7 is 12 cars, and of  $\pm 8,15$  cars. The tonnage is 828 and 993 tons respectively. The time required to ferry either barge from Seattle to Bellingham, a distance of 96 mis., is from 11 to 14 hrs., depending upon tides and tonnage. Che barge or both are ferried simultaneously as the freight demands. Ferrying both simultaneously requires from 15 to 17 hrs. The personnel required to operate this service is 2 men on each barge and 6 men on the tug, with from 8 to 10 deck hands.

Cost of ferrying barges #7 and #8 from Seattle to Bellingham, a distance of 96 mis: Salaries per day for trip of 16 hrs. 3118 Fuel oil 22 Maintenance of equipment <u>6</u>

Total operation cost

\$146 - 96 = \$1.52 = cost per mi. for 27 cars

This equipment could also be made available to the Great Northern R.R. in case of emergency at an estimated rental of \$50 per day.

It is assumed that 65% of the Great Northern freight traffic for Vancouver originates either in Seattle or south of Seattle, while the balance or 35% originates east of Seattle. The cost of ferrying 65% of the traffic or 45 cars each way for a distance of 96 mis. is 3242. The cost of operating a full train of 70 cars is 31.50 per train mile, and the cost of a 45 ear train \$1.25 per train mile: The cost direct from Seattle to Bellingham would, therefore, be 120 each way for a distance of 96 mis. The estimated time that the detour would be necessary is 20 das., provided the Skagit River bridge would not go out. Should this bridge be lost, it would require at least 15 additional days to build a temporary crossing over the river. Since there would be a 50% probability of losing the bridge, 50% of the cost of operating 15 additional days over the detour has been included in the damages.

P 001980

146



2-1+1-20

The naced cost of operating over the detour has been calculated as follows:

Train ferry Seattle to Bellingham:  $2(\frac{3}{242} - \frac{120}{120}) + \frac{350}{20}(20 \text{ das.} + \frac{15 \text{ das.}}{2}) = \frac{38,085}{2} = \frac{38}{2}$ 

It is estimated that 35% of the Great Northern R.R. freight traffic for Vancouver, B.C. originates east of Seattle, while the balance or 65% originates either in Seattle or south of Seattle. Therefore, in estimating traffic interruption damages, it would be necessary to consider 35% of the traffic as detouring from Spokane via Grand Forks, B.C. to Vanceuver, a distance of 559 mis. Cf this distance 419 mis. would be over the Canadian Pacific R.R.

The direct distance from Spokane to Vanceuver over the Great Northern R.R. via Seattle is 484 mis. The difference between the direct and detour distance is 75 mis. 35% of the daily traffic of 70 cars, or, 25 cars in each direction, would be routed over this detour. The cost of operating a full train of 70 cars is \$\vec{\vec{1}}\$1.50 per train mile. A 25 car train at 1.00 per train would be \$\vec{75}\$ for a distance of 75 mis. In addition, an estimated charge of 25¢ per train mile for operating over the C.P.R.R. for a distance of 419 mis. or \$105\$ has been included. The length of time that this detour would be necessary, as previously mentioned, is 20 das, and 15 additional das. should the 5kagit River bridge be lost. There is a 50% probability that the bridge would be lost.

The added cost of operating over the detour is calculated as follows:

 $2(75 + 105)(20 \text{ das.} + \frac{15 \text{ das.}}{2}) = 9,900$ 

Local Freight Service Great Northern R.R: Consists of 70 cars, operating daily except Saturday between Everett and Burlington. Since the local freight termin within the flood plane, no alternate or detour routes are possible. The loss, therefore, due to inability to operate is estimated at \$250 per day. This loss consists chiefly of the loss of profits to the railroad company, as well as loss of wages to railroad employes for a period of 20 das. and a 50% probability of 15 additional das. in the event of the loss of the Skagit River bridge.

 $\frac{15 \text{ das.}}{2}$  +  $\frac{15 \text{ das.}}{2}$  ) =  $\frac{15}{2}$  =  $\frac{15}{2}$  =  $\frac{15}{2}$  =  $\frac{15}{2}$ 

Passenger Service: Great Northern R.R. Seattle-Vancouver Main Line: Consists of two trains of 7 cars each in both directions. It would be necessary for thru passengers between Seattle and Vancouver to travel via steamship.

It is estimated that the total number of passengers per day in each direction for both trains will average 80. Of these 60, or 48 passengers are thru passengers, and the balance of 32 are local passengers. The added cost to thru passengers due mostly to the increased time required by steamship transportation is estimated at 75¢ per day per passenger. The cost to local passengers would be at the rate of \$3.50 per day, which is the average cost of staying at a hotel during the flood period. The damages are computed as follows:

> Thru Passengers: 2(48 passengers)(.75 per da.)(20 das.  $+\frac{15 \text{ das.}}{2}$ ) = \$1,980 dat Local Passengers: 2(32 pass.)(3.50 per da.)(20 das.  $+\frac{15 \text{ das.}}{2}$ ) = \$6,160 = dama; Total damages Great Northern R.R. Seattle-Vancouver Main Line = \$33,090



Anacortes Branch Line & Rockport Branch of Great Northern ...R: The service from Burlington to Anacortes consists of 1 daily except Sunday Freight train in both directions. The trains average 50 cars.

The service from Burlington to Rockport consists of 1 daily except Sunday freight train in both directions. The trains average 35 cars.

The Puget Sound & Baker River also operates over these tracks between mamilton Junction and "Mitmarsh Junction. The service consists of 1 log train daily except Sunday, the average number of cars being 60.

Since all the above service is almost entirely within the flooded area, no detour or alternate routes are possible. The damages, therefore, have been comjuted on the basis of inability to operate.

The loss due to inability to operate consists of loss of profits to the Great Northern R.R. Company logging firms, and sawmills dependent upon a log supply from the Skagit River watershed. The loss also includes the loss of wages of the employes of both railroads as well as the other above firms. This loss is estimated at 1,550 per day for a period of 30 das.

1,550 x 30 = 46,500 = damage

No passenger service is maintained over the above branch lines.

Northern Pacific R.R. Thru Freight Service: This service is daily except outurday from Auburn to Sumas, and consists of 40 cars in both directions. The loss due to interruption of traffic has been computed at 524 per day for a period of 60 das.

 $324 \times 60 = 19,440 = camage$ 

Northern Pacific RiB: Local Freight Service: Consists of one daily except Saturday freight train composed of 10 curs operating in both directions between Bellingham and Secro Woolley.

Since this service terminates within the flood glane, no alternate or detour routes are possible. The loss, therefore, due to inability to operate is estimated at \$80 per day for a period of 60 das.

280 x 60 = 34,800 = damage

Total damages Northern Pacific R.R. = 324,240

The Northern Pacific R.R. does not maintain passenger service over this railroad.

#### SUMMARY OF DA LOLS INFERRUPTICE OF CLILIC, D DR. FFIC

Great	Northern	R.R.	Seattle-Va	ancouver Tai	in Line		223,090
Great	Morthern	R.R.	Anacortes	A Rockport	Branch	Lines	46,500
Northe	ern Pacifi	c R.I	2.				24,240

Total Damages

103.850







• 102

### LEVELS. MOUNT VEHNCN-BURLINGTON ARIA

2

4

1

LCCATION	Adjusted Elevations U.S.C.& G.S. Datum	G.N.R.R. Datum	Elevation Equation
Great Northern Skagit River Bridge. North end of draw span on east side of bridge	÷	(From blu print pro file)	-
Top of tie Bottom of chord Top of cap stand	48.23 43.15 41.05	145.15 140.06 137.97	96.92 96.91 96.92
Conter of draw Span on east side of bridge Top of cap stand	40.67	137.72	97.05
Zero of gage on south side of draw fender pier west of bridge	8.43	105.45	97.02
1921 H.W. mark on third pier north of steel truss	37.4	134.7	97.3
1924 H.W. mark same location as above	34.1	130.9	96.8
		U.S.E.D. Elevation	<u>a</u>
U.S.E.D. momment_A-400 Located on top of dike 12 mis. upstream from Great Northern draw bridge	41.634		
U.S.E.D. monument A-410 Located on top of dike 1000' west of Skagit River bridge - Highway U.S. 199	36.960	36,90	.060 (
		1929 NE Elevation Stamped	CR. T. On
U.S.G.S. bench mark X-6 in Burlington This bench mark X-6 in Burlington turbed. The correct elevation was ob- tained by a closed loop from U.S.C.& G.S B.M. E-13, also located in Burlington. E-15 was tied in to U.S.C. & O.S. B.M. D-13 in Burlington.	36.135	<u> </u>	.049

## P 001986

H.R.M.

Sedro Wooley Work. Oct 23-1940

m. H. R. Madison J.S. Engr Drieg office Pince areade Belly molennon, Wark. Her mr. Modium: Just interned to date Woolley, trence The delay in kinding you there elevations. Terrowing is third order words being yord rode, frin level, moding 3 minist; S. B.M. at North and of northern Parifis Railway bridge our Okoget River, 15 miles mith of Dedro Woollang. t. p. north and of wherete head week; chiseled square. Elev 62.243 P.B.M. In northan end of Clear Pakes, in northwest angle of uns wood, 173 feet north of cutertuin internetion of X. roods, Nfect west of enterlin of highway, in top of concerte port; a standard tablet stamped "77RS 1995" Elev 91.057 There are lungusted and unchecked elevations, as we have reveral will a head, and up to 3500 feet and down P 001997 again, for a closing reused unles don't of there (Brigst mangineer Quip.) Vis Burenging During









LEGEND Drainage Ditch Diking district boundary ----Dike shown thus

(4)

# SKAGIT RIVER, WASHINGTON RIVER TOPOGRAPHY

taken from U.S.G.S. Quadrangle

Distance in miles from mouth of

Topography shown thus -

Other road

sheets.

North Fork



P 001992

DIST'R

Shoreline

From

xater



From TRUE NORTH 001994 taken LEGEND Drainage Ditch Diking district boundary Dike shown thus Paved road Other road Topography shown thus taken from U.S.G.S. Quadrangle sheets. Distance in miles from mouth of. North Fork (4) +SKAGIT RIVER, WASHINGTON **RIVER TOPOGRAPHY** Scale 31680 2 MILES Sheet No. 2 In 2 Sheets & 2A U.S. Engineer Office, Seattle, Wash., May 18, 1932 <u>K</u> 2 Submitted: Approved: C. L. Stuidevan E.J. Pease Lt.Col., Corps of Engineers Electrical Engineer 107 Transmitted with report Drawn by LS.M. File No. K/2/107 Traced by A.E.MS dated May 18, 1932. PLATE NO.3



Hoist bridge Highway Bridge 0.00.0.000 9 ø W.S. Surface Weep holes Reinforced Concrete Slab-Continuous 0: 些29 成合心。但不可能 9 **FTI** Wood Piles Wakefield piling-Wood Piles Wakefield piling-TYPICAL SECTION OF HEADWORKS 0 10 FT. SKAGIT RIVER TANET WASHINGTON AVON BY-PASS fuired in ... Scale: 31,680 1 MILE 1/2 MILE 1 MILE U.S. Engineer Office, Seattle, Wash., , Oct. 16, 1936. Submitted: Approved; Genior Engineer Lt. Col. s of Engineers DRAWN BY A.E.MS FILE Nº E-6-4-30 Transmitted with letter dated December 15, 1936. P 001996



86610	Ь 00	171-1-1		119314	I-Ran analy	Real of the concern
12011	用非理论	a and the			-	
******						
	101 1 5 14	, (J) (J) (A) (A) (A) (A)	副時间目前時間是			
	그는 이 관			の見知可可能		C Mail Provers Billion Prove
	V Fallala				•	
				Parte de la desta		
TITE		E I		╤┲╋┅┥╾┢╍╋┿╬╧		
11111						
TITT			+++++	EK H		N
	1	9		- 9		2
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-++++++++++++++++++	1-11-0-10 1-11-0-00	<u> </u>		36		N
		2	Q	ġ.		N
		9	N N	Q X		- <u>N </u>
			ZZ	1 9 1	ğ	- <del>0</del>
	1. 1. 1. 1.	2			No a	<u> </u>
			JU STO	8 8		
				NA NO	113	
in birth			NUL	0 9		<b>1</b>
	-		ZAN	N N	14	2.9
			0 2 2	Ŵ	SS SS	÷.
		<b>Y</b>	X	X	NN	1 92
		김희리 영문을 망	A NX	N N	OF ES	+
	1 al marte		113-1	129	+12+1-	
		1 1 1	2	NC NC	1	8
				121		
				10 5		
			6	133		47.1
		<u>×</u>	4	N N		2E
	-1-1-1-1-			UM LO		
		2	6	20		
	In the state			Hills Hill out -		
		La L				
			2	可加。同时,		9
1.1.1.1.			a ta statute a statut			
LINI	1 1 1 1					
	A	DECISE PERCHANNEL CONTRACT	. Indiana I. Int. Section	나라 아이는 아이는 아이들	Detter I Stort	
			1	and and and and such as the	here a state of the second	
			01.J. 9.9 19	1 10 10 10		

.....

	SEATTLE MM	666100 d WESTERN DIST OF HIGH AND COM WATCD CROM SEDO MODULEY HIGHWAY BEIDD CROM SEDO MODULEY HIGHWAY BEIDD CROM SEDO MODULEY HIGHWAY BEIDD MOREL SCALE / 2000

				111115
		++++++	++++	0
	+++			0020
	1-1-1			•
				1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-
AS46 AN			Top c	x tie
			63/	•
A CALL AND A		-	666 -	200
		8		
	패크스	하는 아이지 않는	ALTIT	非主 医口注注法

1 Hita	alist	- Mile		hilli		il ite	t d							1:1							the			-	hell.		1	+	柱	11	is	4	+		-			+	+		2	-+	$\frac{1}{1}$			-+	-1.	÷.	-	in le	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	+
	** 											ł								1												ii.	1		ty	1.44			Ť	1	-		•	4			ľ	T			t				Ċ		Č	
																	11						14		ЩЩ		1					i.				3	5		4	1	lent		-			-	1		-		-	1	-	1	01	1	1.	1
					4.12										4						5							-						10				4	1	+	1.1	-			_	4	-	-		-	-+		Ľ.		020			4
																		tit			1		1											21		-1	1	-	+	+	-	-+		-	-	1	+			-		-		-	P 0	2	-	-
														ΤŤ								1		1				Ť			A			17		1-++		-	1	1	17	-	1	1	-	-	t	T	1			1-	1				ł	1
																		训											0	1			1	2	1		1	1		T				-					4.4		T							1
																																		-	φ.					1				1		The	-1				1		3	1		1	1	
										i.									1				1	1				1	h					17	3		1	1	2	1			+	1	1		1	4.	14		4		-		-		1	
																		4					11			illi,									1			+	+	-	1.170			i	-			+			4.	1-1	-	j.	-	-1-	-	-
							+																111	ti)						Hí				1	-		-	-	+	+-	-						-		14		+	-		+	+	+	-	-
													1		t t			₩				1	11.	1		T	#	1	lia.				-	-	+	-	ER	3	37	40	P	5.0	-	-			-		-		Ŧ	1-	1	- 1	-	-	-	
																					t	T				T	T	廿				竹	1	1	1		1	1	1		-		T	ľ	-			T			1	T.			t	1	()	-1
	前朝														11			III				T											1	1	1		-	T	1	Ĩ.	1	1	1	1			1	1			1		1.		1	1		
																												1	1			1	1L	1					1	1		+	4.	1		-+	-	1			1	1						
									6					<b>A</b> #					-		1	13		1	1	-	1	1		1	11	n.	1	4	-		-	1	1	1 -				ľ				-	4		+			1	-	1	-	-
														Ą.	-						1		-	1	-	+	+	-	1	1.		1	+	+	1		+	*	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	H	-	+	H	4	+	+		+	+	-	+	_
									1					1					tie		1	-		Ť	-++	10	4.	1		1.	17		1	-	-		T	1	1	+	1.5	-1	-	r	2		+	10	-	+	+			+	-+	-	-	-
			*					Ťľ"															1				ť	19			Ť	1			-	- 11	1	1	-	T	1	-		-			-	+	-				1		1	-	-	
			110											17	1	TT:					1		1.1				1				1		Ť	1.	1			1	T	1	1	-	1	T	1-7		-	T					16	T	1	1		-
																			1	1			10.1															T	T	Ĩ			T	1			1	1			1				1	1		
															1						1	1		4	1		i.			1	清						1			. J			1	1	-	-		1_			1		1	-		1		
1 pm				11															-				+		-1	111								1		1		1	-	+	-		-	1.					-	1	1	-		1.1	-	1	-	-
								-												4												11		-		-H -H				-	1.1		-	4.			+					1	-21	-	11	-		1
100			31 (4) <sup>1</sup>				tr#				++														-			17		hi		til	1				THE .		-	+	-11-	#		17	1		-			1				1	-			11
				10.15	141 141 1			11						F.																							1	li li		t	1	-	1			1	1	+	-					12			14	1
十十		10 1				T-I-T-					1	T				tt			1	1		ľ					T					iii i		1			1	T	1	T	-	T	-	1	1		T	t		+	-	1	-		1		-	il.
					14. 1	Fil.		8			3								1	i,			1	1											t	1	-	1	1.	1	15		7.	1	10			1		-				1		T		T
110	1.1		- BAR	測劑	1		11		W.			(all		hill		0		in fi	inh:		15,			1	1	113	1	1	1	1	3		34	1	4	- 01		1	1	1	1	I.	1.2	1	1	1 ar	ľ	1	1		-10			1	T	T	1	J

and the second se

HIT LA SANKARAN SAN SAN SAN SAN SAN SAN SAN SAN SAN S		
		500
nunnagu yr graf yg yr i'r y		
IV. ARAKA ARAKA KANA KANA KANA KANA KANA KA		
	Ŋௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗௗ	

	1tt	11	
			1111
			03
			0020
	41	114	•
	1		
	-		
	2.		
11-3 Break in albe	10 A		CB 2
			1000
2 Server Printer Printer Printer Printer		64	13 - 19 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 73
- Top of Ne 18:48		- th	tagit
			4
		02004	
--	---------------------------	---	
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	the action prompty are to	break in dike	
		and the state of the second	

.

	P 002005
2 Cree une on GNUCY dola 538 (1565 adria	





P 002007