19 August 1952

**IPSGP** 

\$09.9h(Skagit Rv)1

SUBJECT: Inactive Civil Works Projects

TO: Division Engineer

North Pacific Division Corps of Engineers 500 Pittock Block

Portland 5, Oregon

Inclosed are reports on inactive flood control projects for Skagit River, Washington, and Chehalis River and Tributaries, Washington, submitted in partial response to request egiteined in paragraph 2 of your multiple address letter dated 2 July 1952 (MPDGP) subject: "Inactive Civil Works Projects." These reports together with those submitted previously complete the segies of inactive project sheets for both navigation and flood control from this district.

2 Incls (in quad) Inactive Projects: 1. Skagit River, Wash. 2. Chahalis River and Tribe, Wash.

ce: Eurttile Mullen

W. A. MATTRIAS Colonel, Corps of Engineers District Engineer

THAT SER.

Katthias /s/

HER

000329

## Inactive Projects - Seattle Sistrict

## II - Flood Control

## Skegit River, sashington

- 1. Project, location and purpose. This inactive project provides for partial control of floods in the lower Skagit River valley, north-western Asshington, by a diversion channel between Avon and Padilla Bay, and river channel improvement between Jurlington and Avon.
- 2. Authorization. The project was authorized by the Flood Control Act adopted 22 June 1936 (N. Doc. 187, 73d Cong., 2d sess.) although the project had never been favorably recommended by the Chief of Engineers.
- 3. Project description. The project plan as originally anthorised provides for a hypers channel 5.6 miles long extending westward from iven to Padilla Ray. The channel is to have a capacity of 120,000 second-feet, assuming that the natural channel would safely carry 100,000 second-feet. The project includes concrete control works at the head of the bypass and a concrete wair at the outlet. It also provides for channel widening and bank revetting between Surlington and Aven. Local interests are required to provide without cost to the United States all lands, easements, and rights-of-way necessary for construction of the project, maintain and operate all works after completion, and free the United States from all claims for damages due to the construction works. These terms have not been met and no work has been done on the project.
- is instead costs. The original approved estimates of cost for the project, based on project document plans as modified by definite project studies (1936), were \$3,150,100 for Federal construction and \$1,332,000 to local interests for lands and damages. In 1951 a complete restudy of the project was made, the results of which were incorporated in a survey report on Skagit River and Tributaries, submitted 21 February 1952. From estimates for a modified plan of the Avon bypass combined with bank revetment, as given in the report, the estimated project costs as of July 1952 are \$7,600,000 for Federal work and \$2,950,000 for local work.
- 5. Economic analysis. In the project document average annual flood damages were estimated to range between \$125,000 and \$150,000, immal charges at 4 percent (50-year life) on the original Federal and local investment totaling \$4,952,100 would be \$231,900 (the 4-percent rate was used in the project document to determine the economic merit of the project). Using the higher damage figure and assuming that all

P 000330

est to

of these images would be eliminated, the benefit-to-cost ratio would have been 0.65 for the project as authorized even without any provision for annual maintenance and operation costs.

- recent review report, the average unmal value of the flood damages prevented by the authorized works is estimated to be \$155,000 at July 1952 prices. Corresponding annual charges at 2-1/2 percent interest (50-year life) for the combined rederal and local investment of \$10,550,000 would be \$372,000 exclusive of annual maintenance charges. The surrent benefit—to—cost ratio would thus be 7.42. Assuming an annual maintenance and operation cost of \$100,000 about 1 percent of the first cost, the ratio would be reduced to 0.33.
- leasons or massification as inactive. to public hearing main and march 1937 responsible country inflicates were much that it would be impossible for local interests to furnish the required local mooperation. The consensus of epinion was that the hypose mannel was not vanted. Consultations with local interests haring the recent project review studies indicated that the general consensus was the mans as much in 1937. These interests have suggested consideration of mastram flood control storage and medging of lower channels in the interest of flood control. Consequently, no assurances of local cooperation have been furnished for the existing moject and home can be expected. Inalysis of costs and benefits have shown a lack of secondic justification. For these reasons the project is classified as mactive. The Tebruary 1952 report recommended abendomment of this project.

National Archims - Pacific New Rogion 6125 Sand Point May Fix

Scallie, NT 22215

Passant 177 Office of Chief
Engineers Scattle

District Office Civil Warks Project 195162

Br 29 Shilshale - Sarhomish
folder 800.94 (Skgit R. ver misceld ta