<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16 Sept 1975</td>
<td>NPS requests reclassification of the Shagjit River levee and Channel Improvement Project (SRLCIP) from “deferred” to “active”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Dec 1975</td>
<td>OCE approves reclassification to “active”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Sep 1976</td>
<td>NPS requests approval to combine Phase I and II studies into a single GDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Oct 1976</td>
<td>NPD approves combined GDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 May 1977</td>
<td>NPS requests concurrence with:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- submission of POS in May 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- GDM may recommend two-stage construction (1st stage: levees and channels down-stream of Mount Vernon with Feature DM for upstream work, 2nd stage: upstream work)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 June 1977</td>
<td>NPD reply to 6 May NPS letter:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- extension of levee to Sedro Woolley would require a SPAC (this would not permit development of 2nd Phase I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- alternative course of action would be to proceed with GDM covering given project area reconsidering the least</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
16 Sept 1975
NPD FL-PF 16Oct75
NPS requests reclassification of the Shagat River levee and Channel Improvement Project (SRL & CIP) from "deferred" to "active."

16 Dec 1975
NPD PB 5 Jan 76
OCE approves reclassification to "active."

30 Sep 1976
NPD SL-PF
NPS requests approval to combine Phase I and II studies into a single GDM

8 Oct 1976
NPD SL-PF
NPD approves combined GDM

6 May 1977
NPS requests concurrence with:
- submission of POS in May 1977
- GDM may recommend two-stage construction (1st phase: levers and channels downstream of Mount Vernon with feature D M for upstream work; 2nd phase: upstream work)

24 June 1977
NPD FL
- NPD rely on 6 May NPS letter:
  - extension of levee to Selden Woldby would require 3 SPAC (this would not permit development of 2 Phase I)
  - alternative course of action would be to proceed with GDM covering general project area reconsidering the degree of protection and start GI study of upstream area.
  - Division Engineer proposes proceeding on alternative course.
5 Aug 1977
NPD for a second time, they have informed us that their initial request -letter of June 77' NPD letter.
NPD to informally requests revocation informally of their 19 July 1977 letter.

19 Aug 1977
OCE informally suggests NPS request reclassification of new bypass project so that they can consider it.

23 Aug 1977
NPS requests reclassification of Man Bypass from "defend" to "active."
"To expedite planning.... so that AEA D can be constructed simultaneously."
The levee improvement and extension portion of AB is and integral part of
the levee system under study for the SRLC

30 Aug 1977
NPD endorsement of 23 Aug 1977 NPS request
- AB includes both a bypass and upstream levee construction. "It is unlikely that
construction of the bypass will occur in the near future.... with reactivation
of AB, we can better evaluate levee protection for the Strait River flood plain.

22 Nov 1977
OCE to 30 Aug 1977 NPD endorsement
- since AB was reclassified to "defend" because of local interest's unwillingness
to provide cooperation and
since it is unlikely that construction of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 July 1977</td>
<td>NPS submits POS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 July 1977</td>
<td>NPD returns POS for NPS consideration of alternative course of action in 24 June 77 NPD letter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Aug 1977</td>
<td>OCE informally suggests NPS request reclassification of Skagit Bypass Project so they can consider it.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 23 Aug 1977 | NPS requests reclassification of Skagit Bypass from "deferred to active"  
   "To expedite planning .... so that 116B can be constructed simultaneously ....  
   The levee improvement and extension  
   portion of AB is and integral part of  
   the levee system under study for the SRL CIP." |
| 30 Aug 1977 | NPD endorsement of 23 Aug 1977 NPS request  
   AB includes both a by-pass and upstream  
   levee construction. "It is unlikely that  
   construction of the by-pass will occur in  
   the near future .... with reactivation  
   of AB we can better evaluate levee pro-  
   tection for the Skagit river flood plain"   |
| 22 Nov 1977 | OCF note to 30 Aug 1977 NPD endorsement
AIPD agreed to NPS plan of public in
29 Dec 77, NPS concurred.

1 July 1977 NPS letter and 19 July 1977 NIPD

NPS requested NPS review of 22 Nov 77

PSA&W for upstream area also could

In the near future, the map will occur in the near future.

By Washington of SRI self-improvement in 1978 or

The recommend a

expansion of upstream, upstream in the near future.

The map will occur in the near future.
- Upstream area can be accomplished by expanding AE&D studies. This requires a SPAC to Congress (construction of downstream improvements would have to wait for approval of upstream improvement).
- OCE favors start of GI study under PS & AW for upstream area and consideration of recommendation for deauthorization of AP.

NPDPF
1 Dec 77

NPD requests NPS review of 22 Nov 77 OCE endorsement

29 Dec 77
NPS: NPS review to 1 Dec 77 NPD request

NPS does not wish to rebut OCE decision
- Modification of SRL & CIP is being pursued by Washington Congressional Delegation to extend levee system to Bedro Woolley
- If legislation not approved in 1978 or early 1979, SPAC will be submitted (delay could delay construction of the downstream reach)

31 Jan 78
NPD agrees to NPS plan of action

29 Dec 77 NPS endorsement

NPD returned “to keep your files complete” 1 July 1977 NPS letter and 19 July 1977 NPD endorsement
16 Dec 75  OCE approved reclassification of SRLAC project to "active".
    - Phase 1 design memo must contain:
      stage-frequency analysis
      stage-damage analysis

5 Jan 76  NPD informs NPS of reclassification & requests preconstruction planning estimate.

30 Sep 76  NPS requests combination Phase I and Phase II studies into single GDM.

8 Oct 76  NPD concerned in combined GDM
    "The AE&D studies on the authorized Shagit River levee and channel improvements should
    not be a complex undertaking."

6 May 77  NPS requests concurrence with:
    - POS to be submitted (possibly showing two-phase construction)

24 June 77  NPD response
    - extension of levee to Debro Woolley would require a SPAC (this would not permit
      development of 2 Phase I'S)
    - alternatively, proceed with GDM covering "general project area reconsidereing the size
      of protection to be provided" and start upstream studies as GI
    - Peck proposes NPS proceed on alternate course action
- Phase I design must contain:
  - stage-frequency analysis
  - stage-damage analysis

5 Jan 76  NPD informs NPS of reclassification &
           requests preconstruction planning estimate

30 Sep 76  NPS requests combining Phase I and Phase II
           studies into single CDM.

8 Oct 76   NPD concerned in combined CDM
           "The AE&D studies on the authorized Shagat
           River levee and channel improvements should
           not be a complex undertaking."

6 May 77   NPS requests concurrence with:
           - POS to be submitted (possibly showing two-
             phase construction)

24 June 77  NPD response
            - Extension of levee to Petro Wooley would
              require a SPAC (this would not permit
              development of 2 Phase I's)
            - Alternatively, proceed with CDM covering
              "general project area reconsidering the degree
              of protection to be provided" and start
              upstream studies as GI
            - Peel proposes NPS proceed on alternative
              course of action.
1 July 1977  POS submitted to NPD

19 July 1977  NPD returned POS without comment pending our consideration of their comments on an alternative course of action (PS & AW).

23 Aug 1977  NPS requested reclassification of steam bypass from "deferred" to "active".

5 Aug 1977  Shrivate informally returns POS and NPD 1st endorsement at Olson's (NPD) request.

30 Aug 1977  NPD forwards steam bypass reclassification request to OCE

22 Nov 1977  OCE reply re steam bypass (use PS & AW study authority for U/S area)

1 Dec 1977  NPD requests comments from NPS on OCE response on steam bypass
29 Dec 1977

NPS comments on OCE response
(we do not wish to rebut OCE decision — Congressional Delegation is attempting to change authorization — if required we will resea SRA)

31 Jan 1978

NPD concurred in our comments — returned enclosure to file for the record (1 July POS submitted and 19 July NPD endorsement)