#### Skagit River Flood Damage Reduction Study US Army Corps of Engineers. Hydraulic Measure Evaluation



US Army Corps of Engineers Linda Smith, Project Manager and Ted Perkins, Hydraulic Engineer Skagit County



# Skagit River Flood Damage Reduction Study

#### **Evaluation Process**

- 1)Measures Identify and Screen
- 2)Combine into Alternatives
- 3)Screen Alternatives (Econ, Environmental, Socio/cultural, Engineering)
- 4)Select for Detailed Design and Evaluation
- 5)Recommended Plan for Federal Implementation
- 6)Workshops, Scoping Meetings
- 7)Variety of floods evaluated 5-500. Do not just focus on 100 year





- Identified measures
- Modeled measure ability to reduce flood stages (today's discussion)
- Complete preliminary costs for measures (Jan)
- Model damages prevented by each measure (Jan-early Feb)
- Compare measure costs to damages reduced without real estate(Feb)



# Purpose of a Flood Damage Reduction Study

- Looking to Reduce Flood Damage in a way that:
- Yields Maximum Net Economic Benefit
- Performs Efficiently and Effectively, Even Under Extreme Events
- Protects the Environment

US Army Corps

#### <sup>•</sup>How Hydrology and Hydraulics are Used in a Flood Damage Reduction Study

- Determine Areas Vulnerable to Flooding
- Determine Extent of Existing Condition Damages
- Evaluate How Well Proposed Measures Work and Change the River





## **Defining Flood Events**

Flood Event	Recurrence	Chance of Occurrence
10-year	10%	1 in 10
25-year	4%	1 in 25
50-year	2%	1 in 50
100-year	1%	1 in 100
500-year	0.2%	1 in 500



#### Skagit River Regulated Instantaneous Peak Flows

Recurrence	at Concrete Gage (cfs)	at Sedro- Woolley (cfs)	at Mount Vernon Gage	MV Gage Stage
			(cfs)	(ft)
10-year	120,400	125,100	117,400	34.90
25-year	158,000	163,400	146,000	38.04
50-year	192,100	198,500	190,900	42.35
100-year	235,400	242,000	230,100	45.90
500-year	386,900	380,800	346,400	55.03

Flows at Mount Vernon are only if flows do not break through levee system. Current Levees have roughly a 160,000 cfs capacity

## Different Ways to View the Problem and Potential Solutions

- Too Much Water
- Not Enough Space for Water to flow
- Flood Protection along river needs to be improved
- Reduced Development in flood prone areas





#### Flood Damage Reduction Study Measures

- Dams
- Off-Channel Storage
- Diversions and Sloughs
- Ring Dikes
- Improved Levees
- Levee Setbacks
- Dredging
- Non-Structural
- Debris Management





### Locations of Interest

- Sedro-Woolley
- Nookachamps
   Confluence
- Mount Vernon Gage
- Mount Vernon at Division Street Bridge
- Conway





#### **Infinite Levee Comparison**

Used to
 evaluate
 evaluate
 how close
 protection is
 to keeping
 water within
 the levees





#### Dams

- Upper Baker Dam
- Lower Baker Dam
- Ross Dam
- Diablo Dam
- Gorge Dam
- New Dams







# **Upper Baker Dam**

- Measures to Improve Existing Flood Damage Reduction:
- Reduced Minimum Outflow (5,000 cfs to 0 cfs)
- Additional Storage
- Flood Storage Timing
- Pre-Flood Event Drawdown
- Dam Modifications (Added Outflow Capacity)



Upper Baker Dam Average Existing					
US Army Corps of Engineers. Condition Outflow					
Recur- rence	Upper Baker Peak Inflow (cfs)	Upper Baker Inflow Contributing to Peak (cfs)	Upper Baker Outflow Contributing to Peak (cfs)	If Outflow is cut to zero	
10-year	27,900	16,400	5,000	0	
25-year	35,900	23,700	5,000	0	
50-year	42,900	28,400	5,000	6,500	
100-year	50,800	33,700	9,000	17,500	
500-vear	73.400	49.000	49.000	49.000	

Upper Baker Dam Average Existing					
US Army Corps of Engineers₀	US Army Corps of Engineers. Condition Outflow				
Recur- rence	Existing Condition Outflow (cfs)	Minimum Outflow to zero (cfs)	Storage Added to 85K	Storage Added to 100K	
10-year	5,000	0	0	0	
25-year	5,000	0	0	0	
50-year	5,000	6,500	0	0	
100-year	9,000	17,500	10,500	7,500	
500-vear	49.000	49.000	49.000	49.000	

 $\sim$ 



Section View - Not to Scale





\* Reservoir pool volumes and juli pool surjace areas nave been adjusted using reservoir storage-elevation relationships updated as of May 2003 based on 2001 survey data.



## **Outflow Capacity Limitation**

Upper Baker Pool Elevation (ft NAVD 88)	711.56	708.83	704.92
Upper Baker Storage Space (acre-feet)	74,000	85,000	100,000
Maximum Outflow (cfs)	17,269	12,958	8,402



#### Flood Flows (>90K) on Skagit River US Army Corps of Engineers. versus Flood Storage Availability

Peak Flows Occurring Before	October 15th	October 31st	November 15th	December 1st
Percentage	2.4%	17.6%	24.7%	35.3%
Upper Baker Storage	0	16,000	74,000	74,000
Ross Storage	20,000	43,000	60,000	120,000



#### Lower Baker Dam

#### Flood Damage Reduction Measures:

- Adding Flood Storage
- Pre-Flood Event
   Drawdown
- Dam Modifications (Added Outflow Capacity)





PUGET

Section View - Not to Scale



Filename: dams schematics\_new elevations\_092603



## **Outflow Capacity Limitation**

Lower Baker Pool Elevation (ft NAVD 88)	435.54	428.55	420.52
Lower Baker Storage Space (acre-feet)	14,500	29,400	45,000
Maximum Outflow (cfs)	17,450	4,000	4,000

# Lower Baker 25-year Regulation



#### Dec2004

Lower Baker Dam-Pool.25-yearLB-0.Elev.1HOUR

- - Time of Simulation

Lower Baker Dam-Pool.25-yearLB-0.Flow-IN.1HOUR

Lower Baker Dam-Pool.25-yearLB-0.Flow-OUT.1HOUR



#### Ross Dam

- Measures to Improve Existing Flood Damage Reduction:
- Additional Storage
- Flood Storage Timing
- Pre-Flood Event
   Drawdown



ĬĦĬ	
US Arm	y Cor
of Engin	leers

#### Ross Dam Average Existing Condition Outflow

Recur- rence	Ross Peak Inflow (cfs)	Ross Inflow Contributing to Peak (cfs)	Ross Outflow Contributing to Peak (cfs)
$10_{-vear}$	32 /00	28 300	0
25-year	45,040	38,460	0
50-year	56,100	47,990	0
100-year	68,540	58,400	13,500
500-year	104,200	88,670	36,500



#### **Diablo Dam**

 Storage available between Normal Full Pool and Minimum Power Pool = 6,988 acre-feet

(Elev. 1197 to 1205)

 Volume per hour released from Ross before the storm ~2000 acre-feet





#### Gorge Dam

 Storage available between Normal Full Pool and Minimum Power Pool = 1,347 acre-feet
 (Elev. 869 to 875)





#### New Dams

- Sauk River Dam
- Cascade River Dam

Both are Wild and Scenic Rivers which do not allow dams





#### **Off-Channel Storage**

- Cockreham Island (RM 35 to RM 39) – 5,400 acrefeet
- River Bend (RM 13 to 17) – 4,000 acre-feet
- Fills up in less than an hour at peak of 100-year
- Nookachamps
- Hart's Slough





 Contained up to 48 feet NGVD 29

US Army Corps

- Gate closed until flows reach 140,000 cfs and then completely opens
- Gate is 300 feet wide and 15 feet high
- Storage = 98,000 acre-feet at an elevation of 48 feet NGVD 29





## Hart's Slough

- Contained up to 48 feet NGVD 29
- Gate closed until flows reach 140,000 cfs and then completely opens
- Gate is 170 feet wide and 15 feet high
- Storage = 17,000 acre-feet at an elevation of 48 feet NGVD 29





## **Off-Channel Storage**

Location	Existing Condition 100-year Elevation (ft)	Nooka- champs 100-year Storage Elevation (ft)	Hart's Slough 100-year Storage Elevation (ft)	Existing Condition 10-year Elevation (ft)	Nooka- champs Storage 10-year Elevation (ft)	Hart's Slough 10-year Storage Elevation (ft)
Sedro- Woolley	52.0	54.0 2.0	52.0 0.0	43.7	47.0 3.3	43.8 0.1
Nooka- champs	49.7	49.8 0.1	49.5 0.2	40.2	43.1 2.9	40.4 0.2
Mount Vernon Gage	45.9	43.6 2.3	45.8 0.1	34.9	35.7 0.8	35.1 0.2
Division Street Bridge	36.2	34.3 1.9	36.2 0.0	27.8	28.4 0.6	27.9 0.1
Conway	21.3	20.2	21.2	15.7	16.1 04	15.8



## **Diversions and Sloughs**

- Samish Bypass
- Swinomish Bypass (Avon)
- Mount Vernon Bypass
- Fir Island Slough





## Samish Bypass

- More Excavation than Avon Bypass
- Different Fish Species issues
- Not Currently Being Evaluated





# Avon Bypass

- 2000 feet wide
- 11.4 miles long
- Flows into Swinomish Slough
- Fuse Plug Design to Fail Levee
- Starts failing at a stage of 34.5 feet
- 3-Bridge Corridor 500 foot Setback is part of measure





## Mount Vernon Bypass

- Overcomes
   Constriction at
   Division
   Street Bridge
- 500 feet wide
- 1 mile long





## Fir Island Slough

- Overcomes

   constriction of Lower
   North Fork
- 500 feet wide
- 14,000 feet long





#### **Diversions and Sloughs**

Location	Existing Condition 100-year Elevation (ft)	Swinomish Diversion Elevation (ft)	Mount Vernon 500 foot Bypass Elevation (ft)	Mount Vernon 1500 foot Bypass Elevation (ft)	Fir Island 500 foot Slough Elevation (ft)
Sedro-	52.0	49.2	50.9	50.8	51.9
Woolley		2.8	1.1	1.2	0.1
Nooka-	49.7	44.4	48.0	47.7	49.5
champs		5.3	1.7	2.0	0.2
Mount	45.9	41.6	44.0	43.6	45.7
Vernon Gage		4.3	1.9	2.3	0.2
Division	36.2	32.9	34.9	34.6	35.7
Street Bridge		3.3	1.3	1.6	0.5
Conway	21.3	19.1 2.2	21.4 0.1	21.5 0.2	20.4 0.9



#### **Diversions and Sloughs**

Location	Existing Condition 100-year Elevation (ft)	MV and Fir Island Slough 500 feet Elevation (ft)	MV and Fir Island Slough 1500 feet w/Setback Elevation (ft)	
Sedro- Woolley	52.0	50.9 1.1	49.0 3.0	Sount Vernor M
Nooka- champs	49.7	48.0 1.7	43.4 6.3	
Mount Vernon Gage	45.9	43.9 2.0	40.6 5.3	
Division Street Bridge	36.2	34.4 1.8	32.0 4.2	
Conway	21.3	18.5 2.8	18.5 2.8	



# **Ring Dikes**

- Burlington
- North Mount Vernon
- West Mount Vernon
- East Mount Vernon
- LaConner
- Sedro-Woolley
- Sedro-Woolley WWTP
- Anacortes Water
   Treatment Plant





## **Burlington Ring Dike**



## **North Mount Vernon Ring Dike**



-----

#### US Army Corps of Engineers.

## West Mount Vernon Ring Dike

FLECK 204E MATCH LINE TO CI.12 ting ALTERNATIVE #4 KEY PLAN Annoille and annon annothing and annothing annothing and annothing and annothing annothing and annothing and annothing and annothing and annothing and annothing annot Transmin 5 foot flood Wall. . 4 LEGEND NEW OFF RIVER LEVEE I I I I I I I CONSTRUCT NEW LEVEE NEW CONTROL STRUCTURE STRUCTURE EXISTING BANKSIDE LEVEE LEVEE LEVEE etunitimetennetunita REDUCED TO SOX OF FULL SIZ U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, SEATTLE CORPS OF ENGINEERS SCATTLE, WASHINGTON RIVER FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION ALTERNATIVE SITE PLANS ALTERNATIVE #4 RING DIKE W/OVERTOPPE SITE PLAN 2 CONCEPT FEASIBILITY STUDY KAGIT COUNT WASHIN MATCH LINE TO CL 14 OLAPR30 DATE AND TIME PLOTTED: 14-APR-2001 04+46 DESIGN FILE: 1+DESIGNS/SHFD/CIV/SHF0CA20.00 AB.

#### East Mount Vernon Ring Dike US Army Corps of Engineers.

ĨHĬ





## LaConner Ring Dike





## Sedro-Woolley Ring Dike





## **Clear Lake Ring Dike**





## Improved Levees

- Left Bank to Mount Vernon
- Left Bank from Mount Vernon to SF Mouth
- Right Bank



Improved Levees Not Including						
US Army Corps of Engineers. Freeboard						
Recurrence	Nooka- champs	Mount Vernon Gage	Division Street Bridge	Conway		
10-year	40.2	34.9	27.8	15.7		
25-year	43.2	38.0	30.2	17.3		
50-year	45.6	42.4	33.4	19.5		
100-year	49.7	45.9	36.2	21.3		
500-year	61.9	55.0	43.1	25.8		

#### Levee Setbacks

3-Bridge
 Corridor to
 Skagit Bay

ĬH

• With and Without Excavation

 Overbank excavated 20 feet (5 million cubic yards)





#### Levee Setback

Location	Existing Condition 100-year Stage (ft)	500-foot Setback Stage (ft)	500-foot Setback with Excavation Stage (ft)
Sedro-Woolley	52.0	49.6	48.7
		2.4	3.3
Nookachamps	49.7	45.7	37.7
		4.0	12.0
Mount Vernon Gage	45.9	43.2	35.1
		2.7	10.8
Division Street Bridge	36.2	34.2	25.5
		2.0	11.1
Conway	21.3	20.2	9.3
		1.1	12.0





- 60 million cubic yards from Sedro-Woolley to mouth for 100-year conveyance
- Short-term fix and high O&M cost





#### Non-structural

Hazard Map

- Buying out flood prone houses
- Raising houses







# **Debris Management**

Flood at Nooka- champs Confluence	Without Debris	With Debris	
25-year	40.9 2.3	43.2	
50-year	43.6 2.0	45.6	
100-year	48.5 1.2	49.7	
500-year	60.2 1.7	61.9	



US Army Corps of Engineers.

#### Skagit River Flood Damage Reduction Study Hydraulic Measure Evaluation



Linda Smith, Project Manager and Ted Perkins, Hydraulic Engineer