

Skagit River Flood Report

Notes for reviewers

1. Is the topog sheet good enough for the section of illustrations to use in making up the figure showing dike failures?
2. Do you think the notes under the list of floods in the section on historic floods adds to the completeness of the section?
3. We do not have funds (see letter to J. V. B. Wells, dated July 2 to do any additional work on the flood frequency study. That study is complicated by storage in the reservoirs so perhaps the most simple study is desirable.
4. What do you think of adding a rating curve to Skagit River near Concrete station data?
5. The high-water profile is not very complete but it seemed that some sort of profile should be presented.
6. Should the flood-frequency curves be included with the gaging station records or should they be in a grouping under the flood-frequency study?
7. Would a typical hydrograph be of value (perhaps along with the rating curve for the station on the Skagit near Concrete)?
8. The figures and station record data do not have page numbers as yet.
9. The data concerning historic floods are in three sections; (1) history of floods, (2) description of floods, and (3) historic flood data. These may be considered similar in some respects and possibly could be combined in one section. This, however, would entail almost a complete rewriting of the report. The present report in general follows the original suggested outline of main topics.

G. L. Bodhaine

7-2-54